From Then to Now and Into the Future: Scientific Research in Support of the Protection of Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems and Management of Deep-Sea Fisheries

Ellen Kenchington Fisheries & Oceans Canada Bedford Institute of Oceanography Nova Scotia, Canada

Ellen.Kenchington@dfo-mpo.gc.ca







"... recognizing the immense importance and value of deep sea ecosystems and the biodiversity they contain; " A/RES/61/105 para 80



Murillo et al. 2020. Ecological Indicators 112: 106135.





" (b) Conduct further marine scientific research and use the best scientific and technical information available to identify where vulnerable marine ecosystems are known to occur or are likely to occur" A/RES/64/72 para 119

Distribution Modeling

- Relative probability of occurrence between known occurrences
- Interpolate predictions to new areas
- "Where species are known or likely to occur"



Predicted distribution: current, past and/or future

















Predictions of Distribution to Mid-Century (2045-2065) and Beyond





🦞 iAtlantic

Identification of Climate Refugia



Wang et al. (2022) Frontiers in Marine Science 9: 863693



"171. Calls upon States to take action immediately... to continue to implement the 2008 International Guidelines ... in order to sustainably manage fish stocks and protect vulnerable marine ecosystems ... from fishing practices with significant adverse impacts on vulnerable marine ecosystems, recognizing the immense importance and value of deep sea ecosystems and the biodiversity they contain as documented in the First Global Integrated Marine Assessment" A/RES/71/123







NAFO Scientific Council WG-ESA 2021 Kenny et al.

Agent-based Modeling



Before Fishing



Evaluation of Closures and recovery times



Simulating a Sea Pen Life Cycle with Fishing Impacts in Space and Time



NAFO Scientific Council WG-ESA 2020-2021 Koen-Alonso et al.

Mapping of Ecosystem Functions





Murillo et al. (2020) Ecological Indicators 112: 106135.



Modified from: Ovaskainen et al. 2017. Ecology Letters 5, 561-576











Surface Deposit Feeders



Active Filter Feeders







Passive Filter Feeders



Benthic predators

Benthic-Pelagic Coupling



http://paws.wcu.edu/dperlmutr/sponge%20type.JPG



Geodia barretti can filter up to 1,000 litres of water per kilogram of tissue each day. Other species have filtration rates of up to 20,000 litres water kg-1 h-1 [dry wt].

Sponges can remove up to 95% of bacteria and particles from the water and 90% of dissolved organic carbon (DOC).



Pham et al. (2019) estimated that the sponge grounds in the Flemish Cap area filter **56,143 million litres** of seawater **daily**. An Olympic-sized pool holds **2.5 million litres** of water!

The filtering capacity of the Toronto Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant is up to **818 million litres day**⁻¹

Pham et al. (2019). Nature Scientific Reports 9: 15843







Sediment core samples reveal Sponge Grounds 17,000 BP

Single long core on Flemish Cap showed that *Geodia* sponges present 130,000 years ago



Impacts of Sediment Plumes on Sponges



Wurz et al. (2021) Front. Mar. Sci., 24 March 2021







Connectivity - Lagrangian Particle Tracking Models



Habitat Configuration and Closed Area Networks

Habitat configuration includes properties of:

- Total habitat area
- Patch size
- Patch number
- Amount of edge
- Degree of isolation (connectivity)

Andrén, H. 1994. Oikos 71: 355-366.





Habitat Fragmentation and SAI



Grid Ping Density

High Low

- Pings
- Vessel tracks









Seven Focal Benthic Habitats

- Foundation species (structure-forming)
- Locally enhance biodiversity
- Vulnerable marine ecosystems
- Deep-sea habitats (200-2000 m)
- Passive larval dispersal (lecithotrophic, short PLD)
- Bottom currents > 10 cm s^{-1}

Simulations of Habitat Loss

Large-sized Sponges



Sea Pens







Fish Use of Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems

Correspondence

Sponges as natural environmental DNA samplers

Stefano Mariani^{1,2,*}, Charles Balille¹, Gluliano Colosimo³, and Ana Riesgo⁴

At a time of unprecedented impacts on marine biodiversity, scientists are rapidly becoming persuaded by the potential of screening large swathes of the oceans through the retrieval, amplification and sequencing of trace DNA fragments left behind by marine organisms; an approach known as 'environmental DNA' (eDNA) [1]. In trying to circumvent the many challenges associated with water filtration and DNA isolation from environmental samples, significant investment is being made in high-tech solutions, such as automated underwater vehicles and robots [2]. Here, instead, we explored a simpler, alternative option, based on the recovery of eDNA from sponges (phylum Porifera), the planet's most effective water-filterers. We obtained sponge samples from Mediterranean and Antarctic surveys, extracted total DNA from their tissues, and obtained tens of thousands of fish DNA reads via metabarcoding, which were able to clearly distinguish samples from the two regions. One Antarctic sample yielded hundreds of reads from chinstrap penguin (Pygoscells antarcticus) and Weddell seal (Leptonychotes weddellii). We argue that this 'natural sampler DNA' (nsDNA) approach is poised to become a powerful, affordable, universal tool for aquatic biodiversity monitoring globally.

In just a few years, eDNA metabarcoding has surged as a novel, revolutionary approach to biodiversity monitoring [3], and despite the caveats and unknowns inherent to every new method [4], overwheiming evidence indicates greater speed and efficacy In taxon detection, compared with traditional 'catch-and-see' techniques [5]. Most recently, researchers are turning to automation and robotics [2] in the attempt to streamline filtration. DNA isolation and detection, and to paramount in large ecosystems like the [7], we would be able to recover



litres of water in one day [6] - that is about 1000-fold the sampling effort normally achieved through current rosette-based sampling in marine habitats. The sponge tissue naturally traps and concentrates the particles from which environmental DNA is isolated, effectively acting as a natural filter. We hypothesized that by extracting DNA from sponge samples and subjecting it to metabarcoding screen larger volumes of water, which is for a fish-specific 12S mtDNA marker

a 12S amplicon library, and sequenced In parallel. The nine samples yielded 246,910 reads (around 0.02% of the total run), and recovered at least 31 metazoan taxa, of which 22 could be identified at least to the Family level or below (Supplemental Information). These comprised five typically Antarctic Notothenioid species, including black rockcod (Notothenia corliceps), emerald rockcod (Thematomus bernacchil) and Antarctic toothfish (Dissostichus mawsoni), as well as











Current Biology 29, R395-R402, June 3, 2019 @ 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. R401 This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



Vazella specimens used to optimize the methodology, revealed trapped biodiversity of approx. 20 species (7 cetaceans, including blue whale).



Fish Use of Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems



Amblyraja hyperborea (Collett, 1879). Arctic skate.



Meyer, H. (2022) PhD Thesis, University of Bergen









Canada



Play Speed is reduced 5 times from original soundtrack.











Thank you - Merci beaucoup