

# CONTRIBUTION OF THE PERMANENT COURT OF ARBITRATION TO THE REPORT OF THE UNITED NATIONS SECRETARY-GENERAL ON OCEANS AND THE LAW OF THE SEA, AS AT 10 JUNE 2020<sup>1</sup>

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Permanent Court of Arbitration ("PCA") is an intergovernmental organization that provides dispute resolution services to the international community. It has unparalleled experience in the administration of inter-State dispute resolution proceedings concerning oceans and the law of the sea.

To date, the PCA has acted as registry in 14 of the 15 arbitrations conducted pursuant to Annex VII of the 1982 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea ("Convention"), as well as in the first compulsory conciliation under Annex V of the Convention. The PCA has also administered dispute resolution proceedings involving the law of the sea that were brought under other legal instruments.

In the period since the PCA's last contribution to the report of the United Nations Secretary-General on oceans and the law of the sea in June 2019 ("**Reporting Period**"), the PCA administered 4 cases under the Convention:

- <u>The Duzgit Integrity Arbitration (Malta v. São Tomé and Príncipe)</u>, PCA Case No. 2014-07, which ended during the Reporting Period with the issuance of an Award on Reparation;
- <u>The "Enrica Lexie" Incident (Italy v. India)</u>, PCA Case No. 2015-28, which was instituted in June 2015 and remains pending;
- <u>Dispute Concerning Coastal State Rights in the Black Sea, Sea of Azov, and Kerch Strait</u> (<u>Ukraine v. the Russian Federation</u>), PCA Case No. 2017-06, which was instituted in September 2016 and remains pending; and
- <u>Dispute Concerning the Detention of Ukrainian Naval Vessels and Servicemen (Ukraine v. the Russian Federation)</u>, PCA Case No. 2019-28, which was instituted during the Reporting Period and remains pending.

Additionally, during the Reporting Period, the PCA has continued to engage in outreach and education activities relevant to the law of the sea.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Under-Secretary-General for the Office of Legal Affairs has invited the PCA to contribute to the 2020 report of the United Nations Secretary-General on oceans and the law of the sea. The invitation requests information on the activities that have been undertaken or are ongoing in the implementation of specific provisions of United Nations General Assembly Resolution 74/19 of 10 December 2019 relevant to the PCA. In addition, the invitation requests information on the main recent developments at the PCA in the field of ocean affairs and the law of the sea. The part of Resolution 74/19 that is most relevant to the PCA is Section IV on the "Peaceful settlement of disputes."

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For developments after 10 June 2020 and further information about the PCA, including its 2019 Annual Report, see <a href="www.pca-cpa.org">www.pca-cpa.org</a>.

Section 2 of this report provides background on the PCA. Sections 3 and 4 provides an overview of the PCA's case activities in relation to the Convention and in other dispute resolution proceedings involving the law of the sea. Section 5 contains a case-by-case description of relevant arbitration proceedings administered by the PCA in this Reporting Period. Finally, Section 6 sets out additional relevant activities undertaken by the PCA, particularly in the areas of outreach and education.

As some dispute resolution proceedings administered by the PCA are confidential, in whole or in part, this report is limited to publicly available information.

### 2. BACKGROUND ON THE PERMANENT COURT OF ARBITRATION

The PCA is an intergovernmental organization designed to facilitate arbitration and other modes of dispute resolution between States, State entities, intergovernmental organizations, and private parties. It is an autonomous institution, governed by the 122 Contracting Parties to one or both of its founding conventions: the 1899 and 1907 Conventions for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes.

While it is the oldest intergovernmental organization for the resolution of international disputes, the PCA has developed into a modern, multifaceted institution well situated to meet the evolving dispute resolution needs at the international level. In addition to arbitration, the PCA administers a range of dispute resolution mechanisms, including mediation, conciliation, fact-finding commissions, expert determinations, and review panels. The PCA is also a center for scholarship and publication, and a forum for legal discourse.

The PCA is currently administering 165 cases. These cases comprise 3 inter-State arbitrations arising under treaties; 110 investor-State arbitrations arising under bilateral or multilateral investment treaties or national investment laws; 49 arbitrations arising under contracts involving States, other State-controlled entities, or intergovernmental organizations; and 3 other proceedings.

The International Bureau of the PCA, headed by the PCA Secretary-General, is the secretariat of the organization. The International Bureau is engaged in the day-to-day work of the organization in providing administrative support to tribunals or commissions operating under the PCA's auspices. The PCA's secretariat is also available to assist in the selection of arbitrators, and the PCA Secretary-General may be called upon to designate an appointing authority or act as appointing authority to assist in constituting tribunals or decide challenges against arbitrators. The PCA Secretary-General has received over 750 such requests to date.

The International Bureau has its headquarters at the Peace Palace in The Hague, the Netherlands. During the Reporting Period, in October 2019, the PCA opened an office in Buenos Aires, Argentina, its third overseas office after its offices in Mauritius and Singapore. Additionally, the PCA has concluded Host Country Agreements with a number of its Contracting Parties and cooperation arrangements with many arbitral institutions across the globe in order to make its dispute resolution services more widely accessible. During the Reporting Period, the PCA signed a Host Country Agreement with Paraguay and cooperation agreements with the Japan International Dispute Resolution Center (JIDRC), the New York International Arbitration Center (NYIAC), and the Shanghai International Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission / Shanghai International Arbitration Centre (SHIAC). The PCA's Host Country Agreements with Uruguay and Ireland, signed in 2018 and early 2019, respectively, also entered into force during the Reporting Period.

# 3. PCA CASE ACTIVITIES IN RELATION TO THE 1982 UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION ON THE LAW OF THE SEA

The Convention sets forth in Part XV rules for the resolution of disputes between States Parties arising out of its interpretation or application.

Pursuant to Article 287 of the Convention, arbitration under Annex VII is the default means of dispute settlement if a State has not expressed any preference with respect to the means of dispute resolution available under Article 287(1), or if the parties have not accepted the same procedure for the settlement of the dispute. Since the Convention came into force in 1994, the PCA has administered all but one of the fifteen arbitrations conducted pursuant to Annex VII of the Convention. The Annex VII arbitrations of this Reporting Period are discussed in further detail in Section 5 below.

Additionally, Article 298 of the Convention provides for compulsory conciliation under Annex V where a State has elected to exclude certain subject-matters from arbitration or judicial settlement. In 2016-2018, the PCA assisted a 5-member Conciliation Commission in the first (and thus far only) compulsory conciliation under Annex V of the Convention: the *Timor Sea Conciliation between Timor-Leste and Australia* (PCA Case No. 2016-10). The Conciliation Commission held extensive confidential meetings with the two States, during which they first agreed to an integrated package of confidence-building measures intended to facilitate the conciliation process, and eventually reached agreement on a maritime boundaries treaty, which was signed on 6 March 2018 at a ceremony hosted by the Secretary-General of the United Nations.<sup>2</sup>

# 4. OTHER PCA DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCEEDINGS INVOLVING THE LAW OF THE SEA

#### 4.1. Arbitrations

As noted in the PCA's prior reports, the PCA has administered historical and contemporary arbitrations involving the law of the sea that were not brought under the Convention. Some of the earliest arbitrations administered by the PCA continue to provide significant jurisprudence on aspects of the law of the sea, including: the flagging of vessels (*Muscat Dhows (France/Great Britain)*, 1905); maritime delimitation (*The Grisbådarna Case (Norway/Sweden)*, 1909); fisheries (*North Atlantic Coast Fisheries (Great Britain/United States)*, 1910); port State obligations (*The Orinoco Steamship Company (United States/Venezuela)*, 1910); and vessel seizure (*The "Carthage"* and *French Postal Vessel "Manouba"* (*France/Italy*), 1913).

The PCA also administered more recent arbitrations involving the law of the sea brought in accordance with special agreements. In the *Eritrea/Yemen* case (PCA Case No. 1996-04), the parties concluded an agreement providing for a two-phase arbitration to resolve the issue of sovereignty over certain islands and maritime features located in the Red Sea and, thereafter, to delimit the maritime boundary between the two States. The parties designated the PCA as registry. The PCA also acted as registry in the *Arbitration between the Republic of Croatia and the Republic of Slovenia* (PCA Case No. 2012-04), which was conducted pursuant to an arbitration agreement between the parties tasking the arbitral tribunal to determine (i) "the course of the maritime and land boundary between the Republic of Slovenia and the Republic of Croatia"; (ii) "Slovenia's junction to the High Sea"; and (iii) "the regime for the use of the relevant maritime areas."<sup>3</sup>

# 4.2. Other flexible dispute settlement mechanisms

The PCA also administers dispute settlement mechanisms other than arbitration in cases related to oceans and the law of the sea that are not brought under the Convention. In 2013 and 2018, the PCA

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The conciliation is described in greater detail in Section E of the PCA's contribution to the 2019 United Nations Secretary-General's report, at <a href="https://pca-cpa.org/en/documents/publications/reports-to-un-division-for-ocean-affairs-and-the-law-of-the-sea/">https://pca-cpa.org/en/documents/publications/reports-to-un-division-for-ocean-affairs-and-the-law-of-the-sea/</a>. Further information is also available on the PCA website at <a href="https://pca-cpa.org/en/cases/132/">https://pca-cpa.org/en/cases/132/</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This arbitration is described in greater detail in Section E of the PCA's contribution to the 2019 United Nations Secretary-General's report, at <a href="https://pca-cpa.org/en/documents/publications/reports-to-un-division-for-ocean-affairs-and-the-law-of-the-sea/">https://pca-cpa.org/en/documents/publications/reports-to-un-division-for-ocean-affairs-and-the-law-of-the-sea/</a>. Further information is also available on the PCA website at <a href="https://pca-cpa.org/en/cases/3/">https://pca-cpa.org/en/cases/3/</a>.

served as registry to two review panels established under Article 17 and Annex II of the Convention on the Conservation and Management of High Seas Fishery Resources in the South Pacific Ocean (entered into force on 24 August 2012), with regard to objections respectively made by the Russian Federation and the Republic of Ecuador to fisheries conservation and management measures adopted by the Commission of the South Pacific Regional Fisheries Management Organisation ("SPRFMO"). Each of the two review proceedings was conducted within less than three months, and allowed for the participation, through oral and written submissions, of the objecting State and the representatives of the SPRFMO, as well as of all other members of the SPRFMO Commission and cooperating noncontracting parties.<sup>4</sup>

The PCA has also administered conciliations involving intergovernmental organizations and other public entities under the UNCITRAL Conciliation Rules 1980, and a matter referred to arbitration under the PCA Optional Rules for Arbitration of Disputes Relating to the Environment and/or Natural Resources was subsequently referred, by party agreement, to conciliation under the PCA Optional Rules for Conciliation of Disputes Relating to the Environment and/or Natural Resources.

#### 5. RELEVANT PCA ARBITRATIONS ADMINISTERED IN THIS REPORTING PERIOD

## 5.1. The Duzgit Integrity Arbitration (Malta v. São Tomé and Príncipe), PCA Case No. 2014-07

**Commencement date** 22 October 2013

**Jurisdictional basis** Article 287 and Annex VII to the Convention

**Tribunal members** Professor Alfred H.A. Soons (President), Judge James Kateka, Professor

Tullio Treves

**Status** Concluded

**Further information** https://pca-cpa.org/en/cases/53/

The Republic of Malta instituted these proceedings against the Republic of São Tomé and Príncipe ("São Tomé") with respect to a dispute concerning the arrest by São Tomé of a Maltese flagged vessel – the *Duzgit Integrity* – on 15 March 2013 when it attempted to undertake a ship-to-ship cargo transfer in São Tomé's archipelagic waters, and the subsequent measures taken by São Tomé in relation to the vessel, its master, cargo, owner, and charterer.

The Tribunal was constituted on 13 March 2014. The case marked the first instance in which disputing parties have agreed to apply Article 3 of Annex VII to the Convention *mutatis mutandis* to the constitution of a three-member tribunal. São Tomé's share of the costs of the proceedings was also partially defrayed through an application to, and a grant from, the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the Settlement of International Disputes, a fund established by the PCA's Contracting Parties for voluntary contributions to facilitate recourse by States to arbitration and other means of peaceful settlement.

Over the course of 2014 and 2015, the Parties conducted a full exchange of written pleadings. Thereafter, a hearing pertaining to all issues of jurisdiction, admissibility, merits, and any entitlement to reparation was held at the Peace Palace in The Hague on 23 and 24 February 2016.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> These proceedings are described in greater detail in the PCA's contributions to the 2015 and 2019 Secretary-General's reports on oceans and the law of the sea, which can be accessed at <a href="https://www.un.org/Depts/los/general-assembly/contributions-2015-2/PCA-Contribution.pdf">https://www.un.org/Depts/los/general-assembly/contributions-2015-2/PCA-Contribution.pdf</a> and <a href="https://pca-cpa.org/en/documents/publications/reports-to-un-division-for-ocean-affairs-and-the-law-of-the-sea/">https://pca-cpa.org/en/documents/publications/reports-to-un-division-for-ocean-affairs-and-the-law-of-the-sea/</a>.

On 5 September 2016, the Tribunal issued its Award on jurisdiction, admissibility, liability, and entitlement to reparation. The Tribunal found that it had jurisdiction over the dispute and that, while the initial detention of the vessel fell within the lawful exercise by São Tomé of its law enforcement jurisdiction, the other penalties imposed by São Tomé could not be regarded as proportional to the original offence or the interest of ensuring respect for São Tomé's sovereignty. Accordingly, the Tribunal held that the cumulative effect of the sanctions imposed by São Tomé was incompatible with Article 49 of the Convention and that Malta was entitled to claim reparation in a further phase of the proceedings. Judge Kateka disagreed with the majority's finding that São Tomé had violated Article 49 of the Convention and appended a dissenting opinion.

Following the issuance of the Tribunal's Award of 5 September 2016, the proceedings were temporarily suspended while the Parties pursued settlement negotiations, which were ultimately unsuccessful.

Accordingly, on 27 June 2017, the proceedings were resumed, and on 12 July 2017, Malta submitted its Claim for Reparation. São Tomé did not submit a written submission or otherwise participate in this final phase of the proceedings. Nevertheless, at the request of Malta, the Tribunal confirmed that it would continue with the proceedings in accordance with Article 9 of Annex VII to the Convention, concerning the non-appearance of a party. The Tribunal sought and received clarifications from Malta in respect of a number of aspects of its Claim for Reparation. The Tribunal also appointed an expert marine surveyor to examine Malta's claim for extraordinary repairs to the *Duzgit Integrity* and for loss of hire during the period of such repairs. The expert prepared a report on which both Parties were invited to comment.

On 18 December 2019, the Tribunal issued its Award on Reparation, in which it scrutinized Malta's claim for reparation and ordered São Tomé to pay compensation in respect of certain of Malta's heads of claim, while disallowing others of Malta's claims. Judge Kateka disagreed with the majority's decision and appended a dissenting opinion.

### 5.2. The "Enrica Lexie" Incident (Republic of Italy v. Republic of India), PCA Case No. 2015-28

**Commencement date** 26 June 2015

**Jurisdictional basis** Article 287 and Annex VII to the Convention

**Tribunal members** Judge Vladimir Golitsyn (President), Judge Jin-Hyun Paik, Judge Patrick

L. Robinson, Prof. Francesco Francioni, Judge P. Chandrasekhara Rao (until 11 October 2018), Dr. Pemmaraju Sreenivasa Rao (as of

26 November 2018)

**Status** Ongoing

Further information <a href="https://pca-cpa.org/en/cases/117/">https://pca-cpa.org/en/cases/117/</a>

These proceedings were instituted on 26 June 2015, when Italy served a Notification and Statement of Claim<sup>5</sup> on India under Annex VII of the Convention.

According to Italy, the Parties' dispute arises from an incident that occurred on 15 February 2012 approximately 20.5 nautical miles off the coast of India involving the *MV Enrica Lexie*, an oil tanker flying the Italian flag, and India's subsequent exercise of criminal jurisdiction over the incident and over two Italian marines from the Italian Navy, Chief Master Sergeant Massimiliano Latorre and Sergeant Salvatore Girone. According to India, the "incident" in question concerns the killing of two Indian fishermen on board an Indian vessel named the *St. Antony*, and the subsequent exercise of

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The full title of the document is "Notification under Article 287 and Annex VII, Article 1 of [the Convention] and Statement of Claim and Grounds on which it is Based."

jurisdiction by India. It is alleged that the fishermen were killed by the two Italian marines stationed on the *Enrica Lexie*.

The Tribunal was constituted on 30 September 2015. On 11 December 2015, Italy filed a request for provisional measures. On 18 January 2016, the Tribunal held a first procedural meeting with the Parties at the Peace Palace in The Hague. India submitted comments on Italy's request for provisional measures on 26 February 2016. On 30 and 31 March 2016, a public hearing on provisional measures was held at the Peace Palace.

On 29 April 2016, the Tribunal adopted its Order in respect of Italy's request for the prescription of provisional measures. The Tribunal unanimously (i) prescribed that Italy and India shall cooperate to achieve a relaxation of the bail conditions of Sergeant Girone; (ii) confirmed Italy's obligation to return Sergeant Girone to India in case the Tribunal finds that India has jurisdiction over him; and (iii) decided that Italy and India shall each report to the Tribunal on compliance with its provisional measures.

Between September 2016 and March 2018, the Parties exchanged several rounds of written pleadings on the Tribunal's jurisdiction and the merits of the case. In its pleadings, India raised objections to the jurisdiction of the Tribunal and the admissibility of Italy's claims, and presented counter-claims.

On 11 October 2018, the member of the Tribunal originally appointed by India, Judge Patibandla Chandrasekhara Rao, passed away. In accordance with Article 6 of the Tribunal's Rules of Procedure, on 26 November 2018, India appointed Dr. Pemmaraju Sreenivasa Rao to succeed Judge Rao on the Tribunal. Due to the illness of Judge Rao, the hearing originally scheduled to take place in the autumn of 2018 was postponed until July 2019.

From 8 to 20 July 2019, a hearing addressing the jurisdiction of the Tribunal as well as the merits of Italy's claim and India's counter-claims was held at the Peace Palace in The Hague. At the start of the hearing, the Agent of the Italian Republic and the Agent of the Republic of India each made a brief opening statement, which was webcast live on the Internet and remains available on the PCA website. Pursuant to Article 23(3) of the Rules of Procedure, as amended by Procedural Order No. 7 dated 16 May 2019, the remaining parts of the hearing were confidential and not webcast.

# 5.3. Dispute Concerning Coastal State Rights in the Black Sea, Sea of Azov, and Kerch Strait (Ukraine v. the Russian Federation), PCA Case No. 2017-06

**Commencement date** 16 September 2016

**Jurisdictional basis** Article 287 and Annex VII to the Convention

Tribunal members Judge Jin-Hyun Paik (President), Judge Boualem Bouguetaia, Judge

Alonso Gómez-Robledo, Prof. Vaughan Lowe QC, Judge Vladimir

Golitsyn

**Status** Ongoing

**Further information** https://pca-cpa.org/en/cases/149/

These proceedings were instituted on 16 September 2016, when Ukraine served on the Russian Federation a Notification and Statement of Claim<sup>6</sup> under Annex VII of the Convention in respect of a "dispute concerning coastal state rights in the Black Sea, Sea of Azov, and Kerch Strait."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The full title of the document is "Notification under Article 287 and Annex VII, Article 1 of UNCLOS and Statement of the Claim and Grounds on which it is Based."

The Tribunal was constituted on 29 November 2016. On 12 May 2017, the Tribunal held its first procedural meeting, during which it consulted with the Parties in respect of the procedural framework for the arbitration, including the calendar for oral and written pleadings.

On 19 February 2018, Ukraine filed its Memorial. Ukraine's claims, as described in its Memorial, are that the Russian Federation has violated (i) "Ukraine's rights to hydrocarbon resources in the Black Sea and Sea of Azov"; (ii) "Ukraine's rights to living resources in the Black Sea, Sea of Azov, and Kerch Strait"; (iii) "Ukraine's rights by embarking on a campaign of illegal construction in the Kerch Strait that threatens navigation and the marine environment"; (iv) "its duty to cooperate with Ukraine to address pollution at sea"; and (v) "Ukraine's [Convention] rights and [its] own duties in relation to underwater cultural heritage."

On 21 May 2018, the Russian Federation raised preliminary objections to the jurisdiction of the Tribunal on the grounds that (i) the Tribunal lacks jurisdiction because the Parties' dispute in reality concerns Ukraine's "claim to sovereignty over Crimea" and is therefore not a "dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention" as required by Article 288, paragraph 1, of the Convention; (ii) the Tribunal has no jurisdiction over claims concerning activities in the Sea of Azov and in the Kerch Strait; (iii) the Tribunal has no jurisdiction in light of the Parties' declarations under Article 298(1) of the Convention, relating to military activities, law enforcement activities, delimitation, and historic bays or titles; (iv) the Tribunal has no jurisdiction over fisheries claims in light of Article 297(3)(a) of the Convention; (v) the Tribunal has no jurisdiction over fisheries, protection and preservation of the marine environment, and navigation in light of Annex VIII to the Convention; and (vi) the Tribunal has no jurisdiction pursuant to Article 281 of the Convention. The Russian Federation further asked that the Tribunal hear its objections to the Tribunal's jurisdiction in a preliminary phase of the proceedings.

On 20 August 2018, having received comments from both Parties in respect of the Russian Federation's request, the Tribunal issued Procedural Order No. 3, deciding that it would examine the Russian Federation's preliminary objections in a preliminary phase of the proceedings.

Between March and May 2019, the Parties accordingly submitted written pleadings concerning the Russian Federation's preliminary objections and, from 10 to 14 June 2019, the Tribunal held a hearing concerning the preliminary objections at the Peace Palace in The Hague. The Parties' written pleadings and the opening statements presented by the Agents of the Parties at the hearing are available on the website of the PCA.

On 21 February 2020, the Tribunal issued an Award concerning the preliminary objections of the Russian Federation. The Tribunal, unanimously: (i) upheld "the Russian Federation's objection that the [Tribunal] has no jurisdiction over Ukraine's claims to the extent that a ruling of the [Tribunal] on the merits of Ukraine's claims necessarily requires it to decide, directly or implicitly, on the sovereignty of either Party over Crimea"; (ii) found "that the Russian Federation's objection that the [Tribunal] has no jurisdiction over Ukraine's claims concerning the activities in the Sea of Azov and the Kerch Strait does not possess an exclusively preliminary character, and accordingly decid[ed] to reserve this matter for consideration and decision in the proceedings on the merits"; (iii) rejected the other jurisdictional objections made by the Respondent; and (iv) requested Ukraine "to file a revised version of its Memorial, which shall take full account of the scope of, and limits to, the [Tribunal]'s jurisdiction as determined in the present Award."

The procedural timetable for further written pleadings in this arbitration is set out in the Tribunal's Procedural Order No. 6.

# 5.4. Dispute Concerning the Detention of Ukrainian Naval Vessels and Servicemen (Ukraine v. the Russian Federation), PCA Case No. 2019-28

**Commencement date** 1 April 2019

**Jurisdictional basis** Article 287 and Annex VII to the Convention

Tribunal members Professor Donald McRae (President), Judge Gudmundur Eiriksson, Judge

Rüdiger Wolfrum, Judge Vladimir Golitsyn, Sir Christopher Greenwood,

GBE, CMG, OC

**Status** Ongoing

**Further information** https://pca-cpa.org/en/cases/229/

These proceedings were instituted on 1 April 2019, when Ukraine served on the Russian Federation a Notification and Statement of Claim<sup>7</sup> under Annex VII of the Convention referring to a "dispute concerning the immunity of three Ukrainian naval vessels and the twenty-four servicemen on board."

The Tribunal was constituted on 8 July 2019. On 21 November 2019, the Tribunal held its first procedural meeting at the Peace Palace in The Hague, during which it consulted the Parties in respect of the procedural framework for the arbitration, including the calendar for oral and written pleadings.

Following these discussions, on 22 November 2019, the Tribunal adopted <u>Procedural Order No. 1</u>, including the Rules of Procedure for the arbitration and a procedural calendar.

#### 6. ADDITIONAL RELEVANT PCA ACTIVITIES

#### 6.1. Education and outreach

PCA lawyers regularly participate in conferences and publish on issues relating to the peaceful settlement of disputes in international law, including in the context of the governance of oceans and the law of the sea. During the Reporting Period, the PCA Deputy Secretary-General, Brooks W. Daly, presented lectures on the Convention and related cases for the Advanced LLM in Public International Law at Leiden University, the LLM in International Dispute Settlement (MIDS) at the Geneva Centre for International Dispute Settlement, as well as graduate programs at Georgetown Law. Senior Legal Counsel Garth Schofield also spoke at the conference "How Healthy is the Ocean's Constitution? 25 Years of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea" hosted by Indiana University's Hamilton Lugar School of Global and International Studies, Universität Hamburg, and the International Foundation for the Law of the Sea. Further, Senior Legal Counsel Judith Levine and Legal Counsel Susan Kimani contributed the chapter "Peace, Water and the Permanent Court of Arbitration: Supporting Dispute Settlement from the Rhine to the Corentyne" to H. Ruiz Fabri, et al (eds), *Dispute Resolution in the Law of International Watercourses and the Law of the Sea: A Bridge Over Troubled Waters* (Brill, to be published in October 2020).

The PCA also gives guest lectures to students, visiting scholars, legal practitioners, and government representatives. In many of these presentations, the PCA discusses cases that relate to the governance of oceans and the law of the sea. During the Reporting Period, the PCA presented lectures to students from various universities, including the World Maritime University, and fellows from ITLOS, on issues relating to the public international law and the law of the sea. Presentations were also given to officials, diplomats, and legal professionals from Brazil, Chile, Germany, Guatemala, Korea, the Philippines,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The full title of the document is "Notification under Article 287 and Annex VII, Article 1 of [the Convention] and Statement of the Claim and Grounds on which it is Based."

Russia, as well as delegations from ASEAN countries, Eastern African countries, a number of MENA-region countries, the Organisation of American States, and the World Trade Organization.

Given the increasing number of PCA-administered disputes involving sustainable development and environmental law, including under the Convention, the PCA also engages in education and outreach in relation to climate change related disputes. Thus, the PCA sent delegations to the 23<sup>rd</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> meetings of the Conference of Parties to the United Nations' Framework Convention on Climate Change held in November 2017 and December 2018. During the Reporting Period, Senior Legal Counsel Judith Levine moderated the panel "Litigating Climate Change: New Legal Challenges" at the 113th Annual Meeting of the American Society of International Law in Washington, D.C.

### **6.2.** Coordination with other international institutions

The PCA seeks to contribute to a cooperative approach amongst international institutions engaged in the peaceful settlement of international disputes relating to ocean affairs and the law of the sea. Through an exchange of letters between the Secretary-General of the PCA and the Registrar of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea ("ITLOS"), the PCA and ITLOS have agreed to cooperate with respect to relevant legal and administrative matters. The PCA and ITLOS have undertaken to exchange documents and explore cooperation in areas of mutual concern.

During the Reporting Period, the PCA was represented, as observer, at the twenty-ninth Meeting of the States Parties to the Convention, held at the United Nations Headquarters in New York from 17 to 19 June 2019. In the course of the Meeting, Senior Legal Counsel Evgeniya Goriatcheva presented an oral report regarding recent developments at the PCA in dispute resolution proceedings brought under the Convention.

\*\*\*