

Islamic Republic of I R A N

Permanent Mission to the United Nations

Statement by

Mr. Hossein Garibi

Representative of the Islamic Republic of Iran

before the Sixth Committee

70th Session of the United Nations General Assembly

on agenda item 84:

**"Report of the Special Committee on the Charter of the United Nations and on
the Strengthening of the Role of the Organization"**

New York, 22 October 2015

In the name of God, the most Compassionate, the most Merciful

Mr. Chairman,

My delegation aligns itself with the statement delivered on behalf of the Non-Aligned Movement and would like to make the following remarks in its national capacity. Iran continues to attach great importance to the role and activities of the Special Committee on the Charter of the United Nations and on the Strengthening of the Role of the Organization.

We appreciate the valuable contributions of the Special Committee to the promotion of purposes and principles of the UN Charter, particularly maintenance of international peace and security, peaceful settlement of disputes, and upholding the rule of law at the international relations. Indeed, the Special Committee is an appropriate platform for Member States to review and renew their commitments to such purposes and principles. Nevertheless, it is crystal clear that using this valuable potential of the Committee requires a strong political will by all. The current situation is not helpful nor is it to the interests of the entire Member States and it may create a dangerous precedent. We need to embark on a constructive dialogue to revitalize the Committee and wisely use the opportunities it offers.

Mr. Chairman,

Prohibition of the threat or use of force is the single most important achievement of the United Nations. According to the Charter, States have an unambiguous obligation to refrain, in

their international relations from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any State, or in any other manner inconsistent with the purposes of the Organization. States have also an obligation to settle their international disputes by peaceful means.

These fundamental principles of international law should be adhered to and strictly observed by all States, and shall also truly constitute the cornerstone of the rule of law at the international level. Witnessing debates regarding the threat or use of force by some states against others reminds us that we are still to strive collectively to promote these principles as the building blocks of the United Nations. The Special Committee has an important role to play in addressing these concerns. It is in this context that my delegation supports meaningful consideration of all proposals concerning the maintenance of international peace and security on the agenda of the Special Committee, including the proposal submitted by Belarus and the Russian Federation concerning the request for the ICJ's advisory opinion on the legal consequences of the resort to the use of force by States without prior authorization by the Security Council, except in the exercise of the right to self-defense.

Mr. Chairman,

Sanctions, as coercive measure, may be introduced as a last resort, only after the Security Council has determined an actual threat to peace or a breach of peace or an act of aggression, and only when peaceful measures have been exhausted or proven to be inadequate. In so doing, the Security Council shall act in strict conformity with the purposes and principles of the Charter and avoid exceeding its authority or acting in breach of the principles of international law.

As articulated in operative paragraph 1 of the document on "Introduction and implementation of sanctions imposed by the United Nations" annexed to General Assembly resolution 64/115 (16 December 2009), sanctions should be in support of legitimate objectives. As such, the Security Council shall not seek to deprive any Member State of its recognized legitimate rights under international law. Nor can it determine a lawful and legitimate conduct by a State as a threat to international peace and security. The Council cannot exceed its authority or act in breach of the principles and rules of international law. It shall not overstep its competence as outlined under the Charter, particularly in Articles 24 and 25.

Therefore and in cases of unlawful sanctions the targeted States shall be entitled to be compensated for damages inflicted upon them. From this standpoint, my delegation reiterates that the International Law Commission should give due consideration to the legal consequences of arbitrarily imposed sanctions against Member States by the Security Council, under the topic "Responsibility of International Organizations". We note, in particular, draft article 3 proposed by the International Law Commission in this regard which reads: "Every internationally wrongful act of an international organization entails the international responsibility of the international organization."

Mr. Chairman,

We welcome the recent report as A/70/345 entitled "Human rights and unilateral coercive measures" in which the Special Rapporteur has highlighted the negative impact of unilateral

coercive measures on the enjoyment of human rights of effected populations. It is a good step in countering such destructive measures against people in targeted States. The continuing arbitrary unilateral economic sanctions against developing countries as an instrument of foreign policy remains a matter of serious concern; such morally wrong and ethically unjustified unilateral measures not only defy the rule of law at the international level but also infringe upon the right to development and leads to violation of basic human rights. Such unilateral coercive measures which has almost always been initiated by one State against many developing countries clearly contravene international law and the Charter of the United Nations, especially where they are aimed at depriving nations of their lawful and legitimate rights under treaties, as well as fundamental human rights of individual citizens in the targeted States. In many cases the unilateral sanctions are imposed as a result of extra-territorial application of domestic legislations against legal and natural persons in other countries which is all the more in contravention of international law.

The unilateral sanctions and extraterritorial application of national legislation violates the legal equality of States, and principles of respect for and dignity of national sovereignty and non-intervention in the internal affairs of the State. Application of unilateral sanctions violates basic principles of Charter of the United Nations and certain other important legal instruments. It imposes suffering and deprivation on innocent citizens of other countries, especially mass human rights violations and deprives them from their right to development and self-determination.

Mr. Chairman,

Before concluding, my delegation expresses its appreciation to those delegations that have put forward valuable proposals during the previous Sessions of the Special Committee. We particularly welcome the new proposals by the Non-Aligned Movement on “Pacific settlement of disputes and its impact on the maintenance of peace” and the delegation of Ghana on “Strengthening the relationship and cooperation between the United Nations and regional organizations or arrangements in the peaceful settlement of disputes”. We look forward to further deliberation on these proposals in the next meetings of the Special Committee.

I thank you.