



PERMANENT MISSION OF GREECE TO THE UNITED NATIONS

866 2nd Avenue, 13th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2905

e-mail: grdel.un@mfa.gr

www.mfa.gr/un

77TH SESSION OF THE UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Sixth Committee

Agenda Item 74

“Responsibility of States for internationally wrongful acts”

Statement by Artemis Papatthanassiou
Senior Legal Advisor, Legal Department
Ministry of Foreign Affairs
of Greece

NEW YORK

13 October 2022

Mr. Chairman,

On the issue of State responsibility, I would like to reiterate my country's position as expressed at the previous discussions in the Sixth Committee.

In particular, we consider the adoption by the International Law Commission in 2001, after half century of hard work, of the Draft Articles on State Responsibility as one of the greatest achievements of the Commission. Indeed, the Draft Articles deal with one of the most important and delicate topics of international law, that is the responsibility of States in case they violate their international obligations.

The Draft Articles constitute a solidly reasoned and balanced text and have become the most authoritative statement available on issues of State responsibility. They have gained considerable recognition, since they have been widely referred to in decisions of the International Court of Justice as well as of other international courts and tribunals.

The most important positive elements of the text of the Draft Articles are, in our view, the following :

- It codifies customary rules on State responsibility thus covering a huge gap in existing international law;
- It strengthens the notion of the international community as a whole;
- It significantly promotes the notion of peremptory norms of international law as envisaged in the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties as well as the regime of responsibility for grave violations of such norms;
- It dispenses with the notion of damage as a condition for the attribution of responsibility.

These elements have been gradually highlighted by state practice and international jurisprudence.

The text, as it now stands, reflects a carefully achieved compromise and, ideally, it should take the form of an international Convention in order to provide States with authoritative regulatory guidance in the so far uncodified area of State responsibility.

However, the elaboration of a convention should not jeopardize the delicate balance of the text, which must remain without any changes to its substantive provisions, some of which contain important compromises with regard to complex and sometimes controversial legal questions.

I thank you.