## UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL TRIBUNAL D'APPEL DES NATIONS UNIES

Case No. 2025-2000

## Dorah Likukela (Appellant)

 $\mathbf{v}$ .

## **Secretary-General of the United Nations**

(Respondent)

Order No. 612 (2025)

- 1. On 7 July 2025, the United Nations Appeals Tribunal (UNAT or Appeals Tribunal) issued Order No. 604 (2025) (the Order or Order No. 604), in which it denied Ms. Dorah Likukela's (Ms. Likukela) Motion for Additional Pleadings and her Motion for Permission to File Comments on the Secretary-General's answer.
- 2. The Order denied the Motions on the basis that Ms. Likukela "failed to demonstrate exceptional circumstances that would warrant receipt of her additional pleadings and annexes", and that the additional pleadings in both Motions merely repeated or sought to supplement the arguments previously presented before the United Nations Dispute Tribunal (Dispute Tribunal), and/or repeated the arguments she made in her appeal.<sup>2</sup>
- 3. On 7 July 2025, Ms. Likukela filed a Motion for Correction of Order No. 604, contending that paragraph 3 of said Order was erroneous, as it incorrectly stated that she had presented false statements and distorted information to the Office of Internal Oversight Services (OIOS). The sentence stated:<sup>3</sup>
  - ... On 14 February 2025, Ms. Likukela filed a Motion for Additional Pleadings (First Motion) contending that the UNDT committed an error in law and fact as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dorah Likukela v. Secretary-General of the United Nations, Order No. 604 (2025).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Ibid.*, para. 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ibid., para. 3.

the impugned Judgment contained false statements and distorted information which she had presented to the Office of Internal Oversight Services (OIOS).

4. On 9 July 2025, the Secretary-General filed a Response to the Motion, in which he presented his understanding that paragraph 3 of the Order was a summary of Ms. Likukela's contentions that Judgment No. UNDT/2025/006 had distorted the information that Ms. Likukela had presented to OIOS, not that she presented false statements or distorted information to OIOS.

5. The Secretary-General is correct in his reading of the referenced paragraph of Order No. 604. Accordingly, the Appeals Tribunal considers that the Order is sufficiently clear and no correction is necessary.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Ms. Likukela's Motion is **DENIED**.

Original and Authoritative Version: English

Decision dated this  $3^{rd}$  day of September 2025 in Tangier, Morocco

(Signed) Judge Nassib G. Ziadé, Presiding

Order published and entered in the Register on this  $3^{rd}$  day of September 2025 in New York, United States.

(Signed) Juliet E. Johnson, Registrar