

Case No.: UNDT/NY/2010/103

Judgment No.: UNDT/2011/038
Date: 25 February 2011

Date: 25 February 2011 Original: English

**Before:** Judge Ebrahim-Carstens

**Registry:** New York

**Registrar:** Santiago Villalpando

**JAEN** 

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

## **JUDGMENT**

## **Counsel for Applicant:**

Nicholas Christonikos

## **Counsel for Respondent:**

Sarahi Lim Baró, ALS/OHRM, UN Secretariat Christine Graham, ALS/OHRM, UN Secretariat

- 1. On 28 December 2010 the Applicant, a staff member of the International Civil Service Commission, submitted an application contesting the decision to deny her request for conversion of her fixed-term appointment to a permanent appointment. The Respondent's reply was filed on 28 January 2011.
- 2. The Applicant had a previous matter with the Dispute Tribunal concerning the Administration's decision not to reclassify the P-2 post encumbered by her to the P-3 level (Case No. UNDT/NY/2009/098). That matter was disposed of by Judgment No. UNDT/2010/165, rendered on 17 September 2010. Thereafter, on 13 January 2011, the Applicant submitted an application for suspension of action of the decision discontinue special allowance to her post (Case No. UNDT/NY/2011/005). On 17 January 2011 the Tribunal issued Order No. 13 (NY/2011), by which it granted the requested suspension of action of the contested decision during the pendency of the management evaluation. In accordance with the practice of the Tribunal, Case No. UNDT/NY/2011/005 thereafter remained open in anticipation of a possible subsequent application that could have been filed by the Applicant under art. 2.1 of the Statute of the Dispute Tribunal. Therefore, as at 24 February 2011, the Applicant had two active matters pending before the Tribunal—Case No. UNDT/NY/2010/103 (concerning the conversion of her appointment) and Case No. UNDT/NY/2011/005 (concerning her special post allowance).
- 3. It appears that, at some point in February 2011, the parties went to mediation, although no notice to this effect was provided to the Tribunal. In this regard, both parties are reminded that, pursuant to art. 15.3 of the Rules of Procedure, they were required to promptly inform the Registry of the Dispute Tribunal of their decision to seek mediation. Failure to do so resulted in a waste of judicial resources and time.
- 4. On 14 February 2011 the Tribunal received an email from the Director of Mediation Services, Office of the United Nations Ombudsman and Mediation

Services, informing the Tribunal that any outstanding matters were resolved to the satisfaction of both parties. The email stated (emphasis in original):

I am writing to advise that with the assistance of the UN Office of the Ombudsman and Mediation Services, [the Applicant] has participated in informal dispute resolution proceedings which have resulted in a successful resolution of any and all applications that [the Applicant] has or may have pending with the UN Dispute Tribunal.

Although our office is not aware of the precise status of matters that [the Applicant] may have pending in the UN Dispute Tribunal, I have attached a copy of [the Applicant]'s signed letter in which she states in pertinent part, "I request that **any and all applications** that I have filed with the UN Dispute Tribunal be withdrawn".

- 5. Attached to the Director's email was a letter signed by the Applicant and dated 10 February 2011, requesting that "any and all applications that [she has] filed with the UN Dispute Tribunal be withdrawn".
- 6. The Applicant's letter of 10 February 2011, however, did not identify the case numbers of the cases she sought to withdraw. Accordingly, on 14 February 2011, pursuant to my directions, the New York Registry of the Dispute Tribunal requested the Applicant to file and serve separate signed notices of withdrawal in relation to each case she intended to withdraw, clearly identifying the case number.
- 7. On 24 February 2011 the Applicant filed a notice of withdrawal in relation to Case No. UNDT/NY/2010/103. The notice stated:

As a result of mediation proceedings conducted by the UN Office of the Ombudsman and Mediation Services, the International Civil Service Commission and I have reached a resolution of all issues. Accordingly, I request that case UNDT/NY/2010/103 (Jaen) be withdrawn.

## Conclusion

8. The Applicant having withdrawn her application, there is no longer any matter for adjudication by the Dispute Tribunal and Case No. UNDT/NY/2010/103 is therefore closed.

(Signed)

Judge Ebrahim-Carstens

Dated this 25<sup>th</sup> day of February 2011

Entered in the Register on this 25<sup>th</sup> day of February 2011

(Signed)

Santiago Villalpando, Registrar, UNDT, New York