UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

UNDT/GVA/2017/057,

058, 059, 060, 061, 062, Case Nos.:

063, 064 and 065

Order No.: 169 (GVA/2017)

Date: 5 September 2017

Original: English

Before: Judge Rowan Downing, President

Registry: Geneva

René M. Vargas M. Registrar:

> SAMOULADA **SCHMALZ BOZIC STEINBACH** ANDREEVA et al.¹ ANGELOVA et al² CARDENAS FISCHER et al³ ANDRES et al⁴ ABD AL-SHAKOUR et al.5

> > V.

SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

PRESIDENT'S ORDER ON RECUSAL AND TRANSFER OF **CASES**

Counsel for Applicants:

Robbie Leighton

Counsel for Respondent:

HRLU/UNOG, UN-Women, UNDP, UNHCR, UNEP, UNOPS

¹¹ Applicants from UNDP

² 14 Applicants from UNHCR ³ 20 Applicants from UNEP

⁴ 21 Applicants from UNOPS

⁵ 262 Applicants from UN Secretariat

Case Nos: UNDT/GVA/2017/057, 058, 059, 060, 061, 062, 063, 064

and 065

Order No. 169 (GVA/2017)

Introduction

1. On 31 August 2017, I received a letter from Judge Teresa Bravo, informing

me that she had recused herself from handling nine cases⁶ due to the existence of

a conflict of interest.

Background

On 3 August 2017, the Geneva Registry of the Dispute Tribunal received

332 applications, which were registered under the above referenced nine case

numbers. The Registry assigned these cases to Judge Bravo on 24 August 2017.

3. All the 332 Applicants in the nine cases are requesting the rescission of the

Organisation's decision to implement a post adjustment change in the Geneva

duty station which results in a pay cut. The Applicants also seek compensation for

any loss accrued prior to such rescission.

4. The applications were served on the Respondent and the replies are due by

28 September 2017. On 30 August 2017, Judge Bravo issued Orders Nos.: 157,

158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164 and 165 (GVA/2017) recusing herself from

the cases.

5. In her recusal Order, Judge Bravo recalled that though they are not staff

members, judges serving at the Dispute Tribunal are compensated in the same

manner as staff members, that is, with salaries and allowances equivalent to the

D-2 level. Consequently, her conditions of service as a Judge were not

independent of the United Nations staff salary system and hence are subject to the

same modifications as those affecting staff members based in the Geneva

duty station.

⁶ UNDT/GVA/2017/057 Samoulada, UNDT/GVA/2017/058 Schmalz,

UNDT/GVA/2017/059 Bozic, UNDT/GVA/2017/060 Steinbach,

UNDT/GVA/2017/061 Andreeva et al, UNDT/GVA/2017/062 Angelova et al,

UNDT/GVA/2017/063 Cardenas Fischer et al, UNDT/GVA/2017/064 Andres et al, and

UNDT/GVA/2017/065 Abd Al-Shakour et al

Page 2 of 4

Case Nos: UNDT/GVA/2017/057, 058, 059, 060, 061, 062, 063, 064

and 065

Order No. 169 (GVA/2017)

a monthly post-adjustment sum which will be affected by the Organisation's decision that the Applicants were challenging before the Tribunal. As a result, she recused herself from the cases due to a situation of conflict of interest and

Judge Bravo further acknowledged to the parties that she was a recipient of

pursuant to art 28(1) of the Dispute Tribunal's Rules of Procedure, informed the

President of the Dispute Tribunal thereof.

Consideration

6.

7. I find that the grounds for recusal provided by Judge Bravo are substantial

and I accept her recusal.

8. For the same reasons proffered by my colleague, Judge Bravo, I cannot

handle the cases in relation to the reduction of post-adjustment at the Geneva duty

station. A clear conflict of interest exists precluding me from considering these

cases. I therefore recuse myself from their adjudication. The question of the

application of the doctrine of necessity does not arise in these cases, as there are

judges sitting on the Dispute Tribunal not affected by the changes to the post

adjustment in Geneva.

9. The above notwithstanding, as the President of the Dispute Tribunal, I have

an obligation to decide the appropriate venue for the cases of the Applicants' to be

determined, given that they cannot be determined at the Geneva Registry of the

Dispute Tribunal.

10. The Dispute Tribunal has two other Registries with judges sitting full-time

in Nairobi and New York, therefore either of the two Registries can handle

these cases.

11. Article 6 of the Dispute Tribunal's Rules of Procedure, provides that:

1. An application shall be filed at a Registry of the Dispute Tribunal, taking into account geographical proximity and any other

relevant material considerations.

Page 3 of 4

Case Nos: UNDT/GVA/2017/057, 058, 059, 060, 061, 062, 063, 064

and 065

Order No. 169 (GVA/2017)

2. The Dispute Tribunal shall assign cases to the appropriate Registry. A party may apply for a change of venue.

12. The Dispute Tribunal already has geographical criteria in place for

distributing cases among the three Registries.

13. All the 332 Applicants and their counsel are physically based in

Geneva, Switzerland.

14. Considering that the time difference between Geneva and New York is

six hours, while the time difference between Geneva and Nairobi is only one hour,

and taking into account the geographical proximity of the Applicants, it is

practical to transfer the cases to the Nairobi Registry.

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

15. The nine cases that are subject of this Order are transferred to the United

Nations Dispute Tribunal in Nairobi with immediate effect.

6. The Geneva Registry is directed to effect the necessary arrangements for the

transfer of the files to the Nairobi Registry.

(Signed)

Judge Rowan Downing, President

Dated this 5th day of September 2017

Entered in the Register on this 5th day of September 2017

(Signed)

René M. Vargas M., Registrar, Geneva