



Before: Judge Teresa Bravo

Registry: Geneva

Registrar: René M. Vargas M.

RIXEN

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL
OF THE WORLD METEOROLOGICAL
ORGANISATION

**ORDER
ON CASE MANAGEMENT**

Counsel for Applicant:

Christopher Bollen

Counsel for Respondent:

Daniel Trup, WMO

Introduction

1. The Applicant joined the World Meteorological Organization (“WMO”) Secretariat in August 2011 to work for the World Climate Research Program (“WCRP”), as a P-5 Senior Scientific Officer under a two-year fixed-term appointment (“FTA”). The Applicant’s FTA was renewed several times and the latest expired on 31 August 2021.
2. On 8 June 2020, the Head of Human Resources, WMO, informed the Applicant that, in the framework of the WMO restructuring exercise, his post was going to be abolished effective 30 September 2020.
3. On 3 September 2020, the Secretary-General approved a postponement of the Applicant’s separation until 31 December 2020. On 24 November 2020, another postponement was agreed upon until 31 March 2021, and, lastly, on 4 February 2021, the separation was deferred until 31 May 2021.
4. On 22 March 2021, the Head, WCRP Secretariat, announced via email that a new P-3 staff member would be entering into duty on 23 May 2021, and that the Applicant would end his service on 31 May 2021.
5. On 24 March 2021, the Applicant informed WMO that he was not interested in any further postponement of his separation. Accordingly, he pointed out that he expected his separation date to be effective and associated formalities to be calculated on 31 May 2021, as previously agreed.
6. On 25 March 2021, the Head of Human Resources, WMO, informed the Applicant that the decision to terminate his contract had been rescinded and that his FTA would run until its original expiration date, i.e., 31 August 2021. This is the “contested decision”.
7. On 14 April 2021, the Applicant requested administrative review of the contested decision.

8. On 29 July 2021, the Applicant filed the current application contesting the decision to not terminate his appointment at the agreed date but rather to extend it until the end of his FTA, resulting in him not qualifying for termination indemnity.
9. On 11 August 2021, the Respondent filed his reply.
10. On 19 August 2021, the Applicant filed a motion requesting leave to file comments on the Respondent's reply and to produce further evidence.
11. By Order No. 91 (GVA/2022) of 18 October 2022, the Tribunal invited the parties to a Case Management Discussion ("CMD") on 2 November 2022. In the same Order, the Tribunal granted the Applicant's motion for leave to file comments on the reply and instructed the Respondent to provide the unredacted version of annex J on an *ex parte* basis so it could rule on whether it should be disclosed to the Applicant or not.
12. On 19 October 2022, the Respondent filed *ex parte* the unredacted version of annex J and his comments in this respect.
13. By Order No. 94 (GVA/2022) of 21 October 2022, the Tribunal lifted the *ex parte* status of the Respondent's annex J.
14. On 2 November 2022, the parties attended a CMD.
15. By Order No. 102 (GVA/2022) of 3 November 2022, the Tribunal instructed the parties to file their closing submissions by 18 November 2022. In addition, the Applicant was authorized to file his comments on the Respondent's reply via the same instrument.
16. On 18 November 2022, the parties filed their respective closing submissions.
17. By Order No. 111 (GVA/2022) of 23 November 2022, the Tribunal ordered the parties to file additional information, and the Respondent to comment on the new evidence submitted by the Applicant with his closing submission.

18. On 24 November 2022, the Applicant filed a motion for leave to file observations on new annexes submitted by the Respondent with his closing submission.

Consideration

19. After preliminary review, the Tribunal sees limited value in the evidence submitted by the Respondent with his closing submission dated 18 November 2022. However, in the interest of the principle of equality of arms, the Applicant shall be granted an opportunity to comment on said evidence, if he so wishes. Accordingly, the Applicant's motion is granted.

20. Having said the above, the parties are hereby informed that no further submissions will be accepted by the Tribunal apart from what is herewith and in Order no. 111 (GVA/2022) explicitly ordered. The Tribunal will subsequently proceed to adjudicate the matter.

Conclusion

21. In view of the foregoing, it is ORDERED THAT the Applicant may file the information indicated in para. 19 above by **Wednesday, 30 November 2022**.

(Signed)

Judge Teresa Bravo

Dated this 25th day of November 2022

Entered in the Register on this 25th day of November 2022

(Signed)

René M. Vargas M., Registrar, Geneva