

Before: Duty Judge Margaret Tibulya

Registry: Geneva

**Registrar:** René M. Vargas M.

SOUM

v.

# SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

## ORDER ON CASE MANAGEMENT

**Counsel for Applicant:** Christopher Bollen

**Counsel for Respondent:** Elizabeth Brown, UNHCR Chenayi Mutuma, UNHCR

Case No. UNDT/GVA/2023/030 Order No. 65 (GVA/2023)

### Introduction

1. On 15 August 2022, the Applicant, a Senior Data Management Associate, Evaluation Service, with the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ("UNHCR"), filed a complaint of harassment and discrimination based on nationality, islamophobia, and racism against her First Reporting Officer ("FRO").

2. On 20 October 2022, the Head, Investigation Service, Inspector General's Office ("IGO"), UNHCR, informed the Applicant that, after a preliminary assessment of her complaint, it had been decided not to initiate a full fact-finding investigation into the allegations of harassment, discrimination, islamophobia, and racism.

3. On 18 December 2022, the Applicant requested management evaluation of the contested decision.

4. On 5 March 2023, the Deputy High Commissioner concluded that the IGO had reasonably exercised its discretionary authority and, thus, decided to uphold the contested decision.

5. On 4 June 2023, the Applicant filed the instant application.

6. On 6 June 2023, the application was served on the Respondent, who has until6 July 2023 to file his reply.

7. On 23 June 2023, the parties filed a joint motion for suspension of the proceedings until 23 September 2023, pursuant to art. 10.1 of the Tribunal's Statute.

#### Consideration

8. In their joint motion, the parties submit that they have agreed to enter into informal settlement discussions, and request a suspension of the proceedings for a period of three months, until 23 September 2023, pending the conclusion of the ongoing settlement discussions.

9. The Tribunal takes note of this and recalls that the General Assembly has consistently encouraged alternative dispute resolution.

10. Having examined the case record and guided by arts. 15.3, 15.4 and 15.5 of its Rules of Procedure, the Tribunal finds that it is in the interest of justice to give the parties sufficient time to focus on their settlement efforts by suspending the proceedings for three months, as requested.

#### Conclusion

11. In view of the foregoing, it is ORDERED THAT:

a. The proceedings before the Tribunal in this matter be suspended during the parties' informal settlement discussions until **Monday**, **25 September 2023**; and

b. If a mediation agreement is reached within this period, the parties shall inform the Tribunal accordingly without delay, and the Applicant shall confirm to the Tribunal, in writing, that her application is withdrawn; or

c. If no settlement agreement can be reached within the prescribed period, the parties shall inform the Tribunal, and the Respondent shall file his reply by **Monday, 9 October 2023**.

(*Signed*) Duty Judge Margaret Tibulya Dated this 28<sup>th</sup> day of June 2023

Entered in the Register on this 28<sup>th</sup> day of June 2023 (*Signed*) René M. Vargas M., Registrar, Geneva