



UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case Nos.: UNDT/NBI/2021/067  
UNDT/NBI/2021/079  
Order No.: 219 (NBI/2021)  
Date: 13 October 2021  
Original: English

---

**Before:** Judge Margaret Tibulya

**Registry:** Nairobi

**Registrar:** Abena Kwakye-Berko

ANTOINE  
MILLAN

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL  
OF THE UNITED NATIONS

---

**ORDER ON CASE MANAGEMENT**

---

**Counsel for the Applicants:**

Sètondji Roland Adjovi, *Etudes Vihodé*  
Charles A. Adeogun-Phillips, Charles Anthony LLP

**Counsel for the Respondent:**

Jacon van de Velden, AAS/ALD/OHR, UN Secretariat  
Romy Batrouni, AAS/ALD/OHR, UN Secretariat

## **Background**

1. On 13 August 2021, the first Applicant, an Administration Officer, FS-6 level, of the Office of the Deputy Chief Mission Support, in the United Nations Truce Supervision Organization (“UNTSO”) in Jerusalem, Israel, filed an application contesting the 9 June 2021 decision by the Acting Head of Mission, UNTSO, to extend his placement on administrative leave with pay (“ALWP”). That case is registered as Case No. UNDT/NBI/2021/067. The Respondent’s reply in that case was filed on 17 September 2021.

2. On 7 September 2021, the second Applicant, a Security Officer, FS-5 level, of the Office of the Deputy Chief Mission Support, in UNTSO in Jerusalem, Israel, filed an application contesting the 22 June 2021 decision by the Acting Head of Mission, UNTSO, to extend his placement on ALWP. That case is registered as Case No. UNDT/NBI/2021/079. The Respondent’s reply in that case was filed on 8 October 2021.

3. In the interest of judicial economy, the Tribunal has decided to hold a joint case management discussion (“CMD”) for the two cases.

## **ORDERS**

4. The Tribunal shall hold a joint CMD commencing at 1500 hours (Nairobi time) on 15 October 2021 via video conferencing, details of which will be transmitted to the parties by the Registry. At the CMD, the parties should be fully prepared to discuss the following:

- a. The essence of their claims and the core issues requiring factual determination by the Tribunal;
- b. Relevant jurisprudence and administrative issuances the Tribunal should take into consideration;

- c. Any motions that require immediate judicial intervention; and
  - d. Whether the cases may be decided on the documents or whether oral hearings are necessary.
5. Should the parties deem hearings to be necessary, they should be able to:
- a. Identify the witnesses to be called and provide a synopsis of their anticipated evidence;
  - b. Agree on a date to file a paginated, agreed bundle of documents that will be referred to by the witnesses during the hearing; and
  - c. Agree on dates for a hearing.

*(Signed)*

Judge Margaret Tibulya

Dated this 13<sup>th</sup> day of October 2021

Entered in the Register on this 13<sup>th</sup> day of October 2021

*(Signed)*

Abena Kwakye-Berko, Registrar, Nairobi