Case No.:

UNDT/NBI/2024/032

Order No.:

106 (NBI/2024)

Original:

14 August 2024 English

Before: Judge Sean Wallace

Registry: Nairobi

Registrar: René M. Vargas M., Officer-in-Charge

WYNN

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

ORDER ON CASE MANAGEMENT

Counsel for Applicant:

Self-Represented

Counsel for Respondent:

Jérôme Blanchard, HRLU/UNOG

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2024/032

Order No. 106 (NBI/2024)

Introduction

1. On 3 July 2023, the Applicant filed an application that the Tribunal disposed of by Judgment *Wynn* UNDT/2024/029. This Judgment is currently under appeal before the United Nations Appeals Tribunal ("UNAT").

2. On 6 May 2024, the Applicant filed another application, registered under Case No. UNDT/NBI/2024/032, to contest a decision she describes in the following terms:

On 3 November 2023, Ms. Bibi Rabea Damaree, informed me that I would receive USD 9143.52 for education grant advance which excluded certain fees which should have been deemed admissible as enrolment-related.

- 3. On 6 August 2024, the Tribunal, noting that most, if not all, of the issues in the present case are the same as those addressed in Judgment *Wynn* UNDT/2024/029, proposed to the parties to stay the proceedings in Case No. NBI/2024/032 awaiting the outcome of the above-mentioned appeal before UNAT.
- 4. On 12 August 2024, the Applicant submitted her comments on the Tribunal's proposal opposing it contending that:

A stay of the proceedings would not be in the interest of fairness and justice or judicial economy. The merits of [Case No. UNDT/2024/032] have already been adjudicated in the Applicant's favor in [Wynn UNDT/2024/029]. Neither the Tribunal's nor the Parties' resources would be wasted by proceeding to judgment in [Case No. UNDT/2024/032] or, in the alternative, limiting the adjudication of this case to those issues, if any, that the Tribunal believes diverge from [the case adjudicated in Wynn UNDT/2024/029].

Staying the instant proceedings would prejudice [her] and potentially increase economic damages. It would only serve to delay any payment that may be due to [her] if [she] prevail[s] in the instant case. For the Organization would have the right to appeal any judgment in [Case No. UNDT/2024/032] notwithstanding the outcome [of the] appeal [against *Wynn* UNDT/2024/029]. The Organization has not waived its right to appeal the judgment in this case and neither the Tribunal nor the Parties can predict the

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2024/032

Order No. 106 (NBI/2024)

reasoning of the Appeals Tribunal in its consideration of the [appeal against *Wynn* UNDT/2024/029] and whether or how it would affect the instant case.

5. On the same day, the Respondent filed his comments stating that he did not object to the Tribunal's proposal, as the present case raises the same issues that have

been disposed of in Wynn UNDT/2024/029.

Consideration

6. The Tribunal observes that most, if not all, of the issues in the present case

are the same as those currently under appeal. It would not be in the interest of

judicial economy or efficiency to proceed with this case when those issues may be

definitively resolved in the pending appeal.

7. Regarding the Applicant's concerns of suffering some prejudice, the Tribunal

notes that any prejudice due to delay occasioned by the stay of proceedings can be

addressed by adding interest to any sums that may ultimately be awarded.

Conclusion

8. In view of the foregoing, it is ORDERED THAT the proceedings in

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2024/032 are hereby suspended pending the outcome of the

appeal against Wynn UNDT/2024/029.

(Signed)

Judge Sean Wallace

Dated this 14th day of August 2024

Entered in the Register on this 14th day of August 2024

(Signed)

René M. Vargas M., Officer-in-Charge, Nairobi