

Case No.:

UNDT/NBI/2025/024 UNDT/NBI/2025/070 103 (NBI/2025)

Order No.: Date:

21 July 2025

Original:

English

Before: Duty Judge

Registry: Nairobi

Registrar: Wanda L. Carter

SNIDER

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

ORDER ON APPLICANT'S MOTION TO ADDUCE ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE AND SEEK SUSPENSION

AND

PARTIES' JOINT MOTION SEEKING SUSPENSION OF PROCEEDINGS

Counsel for Applicant:

Robbie Leighton, OSLA

Counsel for Respondent:

Alister Cummings, UNICEF Chinonyelum Esther Uwazie, UNICEF

UNDT/NBI/2025/070

Order No. 103 (NBI/2025)

Introduction and Procedural History

1. The Applicant is the wife of the Decedent, a Security Adviser for the United

Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) on secondment to Mogadishu, Somalia until

his death on 16 September 2020.

2. On 10 February 2021, the Applicant filed a claim with the United Nations

Advisory Board on Compensation Claims (ABCC) requesting that the Decedent's

death be determined as service incurred, pursuant to Appendix D, Article 5.3.

3. On 19 October 2023, ABCC denied the claim, finding that the Decedent's

death was not service incurred.

4. On 18 June 2024, the Applicant filed a request to reopen the claim to consider

new evidence. On 26 December 2024, ABCC denied the request.

5. On 28 February 2025, the Applicant filed an application with the United

Nations Dispute Tribunal contesting the 19 October 2023 ABCC determination,

seeking recission of the decision and a finding that the Decedent's death be

recognized as service incurred. The application was registered as

UNDT/NBI/2025/024 (hereinafter, "Case No. 024").

6. On 19 March 2025, the Respondent filed a "Motion to have receivability

determined as a preliminary matter", averring that the application was not

receivable ratione temporis. The Applicant contested the motion on 24 March

2025. On 26 March 2025, the Tribunal issued Order 038 (NBI/2025) issued 26

March 2025, denying, without prejudice, the Respondent's request to have

receivability determined as a preliminary matter.

7. The Respondent filed its Reply on 2 April 2025, again asking that the

application be rejected in its entirety as not receivable, and in the alternative, that

the application be dismissed as without merit in that the 19 October 2023 ABCC

decision was lawfully taken.

UNDT/NBI/2025/070

Order No. 103 (NBI/2025)

8. On 23 June 2025, the Tribunal issued Order # 091 directing the parties to file

their closing submissions on or before 23 July 2025.

9. On 9 July 2025, the Applicant filed a new application with the Tribunal

challenging ABCC's 26 December 2024 decision not to reopen her claim, cited at

para. 4, infra. This case was registered by the Tribunal at UNDT/NBI/2025/070

(hereinafter, "Case No. 070).

10. The Respondent was served with Case No. 70 on 16 July, with instructions to

file its Reply by 15 August 2025.

11. On 16 July, the Applicant filed this "Motion to Adduce New Evidence and

Seek Suspension", seeking suspension of the proceedings in Case No. 024, based

on information that on 17 April 2025, the ex-officio Medical Advisor to the ABCC

had requested the ABCC Secretariat to reconsider the Applicant's Appendix D

claim.

2. In response to email query from the Registry, the parties filed a "Joint Motion"

Seeking Suspension of Proceedings" in Case No. 070 on 21 July 2025, requesting

a 90-day suspension.

Consideration

13. The Tribunal has reviewed the parties' submissions on the suspension of

proceedings in both aforementioned cases.

14. Article 10.1 of the UNDT Statute and gives the Tribunal the authority to

suspend proceedings in a case at the request of the parties.

15. The 17 April 2025 letter from the ABCC *ex-officio* Medical Advisor which

the Applicant seeks to adduce forms the basis for the Applicant's information that

the matter has been referred back to ABCC for a reconsideration of a new

determination decision.

UNDT/NBI/2025/070

Order No. 103 (NBI/2025)

16. The underlying basis of Case No. 24 is the unfavorable decision by the ABCC

with respect to the Applicant's Appendix D claim, and the basis of Case No. 70 is

ABCC's failure to reconsider that decision. A reconsideration of the ABCC claim

would render the claims brought under Case No. 70 moot. Further, a favorable

decision by the ABCC in its reconsideration would potentially render the cause of

action brought under Case No. 24 moot.

17. Although the parties' joint motion references Case No. 70, the Respondent

does not indicate any opposition to the Applicant's initial request for extension in

Case No. 24. Further, the Tribunal, in its email query to the parties clearly indicated

that the same treatment would be accorded both cases.

18. The Tribunal will consider the Respondent's acquiescence to the suspension

and its acknowledgement and reference to the 17 April 2025 letter from the ex

officio Medical Advisor as a lack of objection to the admission of that letter. The

Tribunal finds that the letter is critical to a determination on the issue of

reconsideration, and therefore, critical to a determination of suspension of these

proceedings.

19. Therefore, in the interest of a fair and expeditious disposal of the case, and in

light of the parties' joint request, the Tribunal deems it appropriate to order a

suspension of the proceedings in both cases filed this matter in order to allow the

new request for reconsideration that is pending before the ABCC to be ruled on.

Conclusion

20. In view of the foregoing, it is ORDERED THAT:

a. The Applicant's motion to adduce evidence, i.e, the 17 April 2025 letter

from the *ex officio* Medical Advisor, is granted.

b. The Applicant's motion for suspension of proceedings in

UNDT/NBI/2024/024 is granted.

UNDT/NBI/2025/070

Order No. 103 (NBI/2025)

c. The joint motion for suspension of proceedings in

UNDT/NBI/2025/070 is granted.

d. The proceedings in both UNDT/NBI/2025/024 and

UNDT/NBI/2025/070 are hereby temporarily suspended until Monday, 20

October 2025.

e. The Respondent's reply, which would have been due on 15 August

2025, is also waived during the time of the suspension, and the Tribunal shall

advise the Respondent of a new date to file its reply, as applicable.

f. The Applicant shall keep the Tribunal and the Respondent apprised of

any movement with respect to his filing with ABCC that will impact upon the

proceedings in the two cases.

g. The Applicant shall file necessary pleading with respect to the status of

the proceedings on or before the expiry of the term of suspension by Monday,

20 October 2025.

(Signed)

Judge Sean Wallace (Duty Judge)

Dated this 21st day of July 2025

Entered in the Register on this 21st day of July 2025

(Signed)

Wanda L. Carter, Registrar, Nairobi