Case No.:

UNDT/NBI/2025/097

Order No.: Date: 205 (NBI/2025) 27 October 2025

Original:

English

**Before:** Duty Judge

Registry: Nairobi

**Registrar:** Wanda L. Carter

**CASTELLI** 

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

### **ORDER ON CASE MANAGEMENT**

# **Counsel for Applicant:**

Self-represented

## **Counsel for Respondent:**

Lucienne Pierre, AS/ALD/OHR/UN Secretariat Charlene Ndirangu, AS/ALD/OHR/UN Secretariat

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2025/097

Order No. 205 (NBI/2025)

#### Introduction

1. The Applicant is the former Policy and Best Practices Officer with the United Nations Interim Force in Lebanon ("UNIFIL"). By application filed on 17 September 2025, he contests three decisions, namely:

- a. UNIFIL Head of Mission's prolonged inaction on his complaint of possible prohibited conduct against UNIFIL's former Chief of Special Staff;
- b. Management Advice and Evaluation Section's decision finding the first contested decision moot; and
- c. UNIFIL's decision to close his complaint without an investigation.
- 2. The Respondent submitted a reply on 21 October 2025, where it is argued that:
  - a. The first and second contested decisions are not receivable. The first contested decision is most because the Applicant has received an outcome to his complaint.
  - b. The second contested decision is not receivable *rationae materiae* because management evaluation is not a reviewable administrative decision.
  - c. The third contested decision was lawful, rational and procedurally correct.

#### Consideration

Filing of a rejoinder

3. Pursuant to art. 19 of its Rules of Procedure, the Tribunal may at any time issue any order or give any direction appearing to be appropriate for the fair and expeditious disposal of a case and to do justice to the parties.

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2025/097

Order No. 205 (NBI/2025)

4. Having taken into consideration the pleadings of the parties, the Tribunal considers it appropriate and in the interest of justice to direct the Applicant to file a rejoinder and respond to the issues raised in the reply.

#### Conclusion

- 5. In view of the foregoing, it is ORDERED THAT:
  - a. On or before **Monday, 10 November 2025**, the Applicant shall file a response to the reply, and more specifically, address the receivability issues raised by the Respondent.
  - b. The submissions shall not exceed five pages, in font Times New Roman, font size 12, line spacing of 1.5 lines.

(Signed)
Judge Sean Wallace (Duty Judge)
Dated this 27th day of October 2025

Entered in the Register on this 27th day of October 2025

(Signed)

Wanda L. Carter, Registrar, Nairobi