



Before: Judge Ebrahim-Carstens, Duty Judge

Registry: New York

Registrar: Hafida Lahiouel

KALASHNIK

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL
OF THE UNITED NATIONS

ORDER

ON CASE MANAGEMENT

Counsel for Applicant:
Self-represented

Counsel for Respondent:
Alan Gutman for ALS/OHRM, UN Secretariat
Elizabeth Gall, for ALS/OHRM, UN Secretariat

1. On 26 May 2015, the Applicant filed an application contesting the decision, *inter alia*, not to include him in the roster of qualified candidates for P-4 Resident Investigator positions in the Office of Internal Oversight Services (“OIOS”); his non-selection for various P-4 positions; and the filling of several P-4 positions without advertisement through a job opening and allegedly outside of the competitive process.
2. On the same day, the application was transmitted to the Respondent in accordance with arts. 8.4 and 10 of the Rules of Procedure, granting the Respondent 30 calendar days to submit his reply by 5 PM on Thursday, 25 June 2015.
3. On 23 June 2015, before the reply was filed, the Applicant filed a motion requesting leave of the Tribunal to file a 16-page motion for production of evidence by the Respondent, attaching the said 16-page motion.
4. On 26 July 2015, the Respondent duly filed a reply contending, *inter-alia*, that the Applicant's claims have no merit; that the selection processes were conducted in full compliance and the resulting decisions not to select the Applicant were lawful; that the Applicant was fully and fairly considered for the roster; and that the decisions to transfer staff members within OIOS to P-4 positions were lawful.
5. On 7 July 2015, the Tribunal issued Order No. 133 (NY/2015) directing that the Applicant file a response to the Respondent's reply by 6 August 2015, and placing the Applicant's motion for production of evidence in abeyance until further notice.
6. Following an extension of time, on 9 September 2015, the Applicant filed a 38-page response to the Respondent's reply, together with 285 pages of annexes.
7. The Tribunal notes that there are currently more than 50 cases registered prior to the instant matter in the New York Registry awaiting consideration by the Tribunal. The Tribunal also notes that in the ordinary course of proceedings, matters of production of evidence, and of discovery and disclosure, are matters that are dealt with by the Judge assigned the case, with a view to case management in preparation for trial.

8. Having considered the above, this case shall join the queue of pending cases. Furthermore, the Applicant's motions for production and for discovery and disclosure, shall be deferred to be dealt with by the Judge assigned the case in due course.

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

9. This case will join the queue of pending cases and be assigned to a Judge in due course.

10. No further motions, filings or correspondence will be entertained by the Tribunal, unless otherwise ordered by the Tribunal.

(Signed)

Judge Ebrahim-Carstens, Duty Judge

Dated this 10th day of September 2015