



Before: Judge Alexander W. Hunter, Jr.

Registry: New York

Registrar: Nerea Suero Fontecha

VEDEL

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL
OF THE UNITED NATIONS

ORDER

ON CASE MANAGEMENT

Counsel for Applicant:
Mohamed Abdou, OSLA

Counsel for Respondent:
Bart Willemsen, UNICEF
Esther Uwazie, UNICEF

Introduction

1. On 23 January 2018, the Applicant, a Procurement Assistant at the GS-5 level, step 10, filed an application contesting (a) “the decision to impose the disciplinary sanction of loss of two steps within-grade for failure to formally disclose a potential conflict of interest” and (b) “the subsequent decision to grant the Applicant a limited one-year appointment instead of a regular two-year extension”.

2. On 26 February 2018, the Respondent duly filed his reply in which he submits that the appeal against “the subsequent decision to grant the Applicant a limited one-year appointment instead of a regular two-year extension” is not receivable and that, in any event, the application is without merit.

3. For the fair and expeditious disposal of the case and to do justice to the parties,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

4. By **4:00 p.m. on Tuesday, 29 January 2019**, the parties are to file a jointly signed statement providing, under separate headings, the following information:

a. A consolidated list of the agreed facts. In chronological order, this list is to make specific reference to each individual event in one paragraph in which the relevant date is stated at the beginning;

b. A consolidated list of the disputed facts. In chronological order, the list is to make specific reference to each individual event in one paragraph in which the relevant date is stated at the beginning. If any documentary evidence is relied upon to support a disputed fact, clear reference is to be made to the appropriate annex in the application or reply, as applicable. At the end of the disputed paragraph in square brackets, the party contesting the disputed fact shall set out the reason(s);

- c. A list of any additional written evidence, which a party requests to produce, or request the opposing party to produce, and stating the relevance thereof;
- d. Whether the parties request a hearing for witnesses to provide testimony to support any disputed facts or any other issue and, if so:
 - i. Provide a list of the witnesses that each party proposes to call; and
 - ii. Provide a brief statement or summary of the issue and/or disputed fact(s) to be addressed by each witness;
- e. If the parties would be willing to enter into negotiations on resolving the case amicably either through the assistance of the Office of the Ombudsman and Mediation Services or *inter partes*.

(Signed)

Judge Alexander W. Hunter, Jr.

Dated this 8th day of January 2019