



Before: Judge Alexander W. Hunter, Jr.

Registry: New York

Registrar: Nerea Suero Fontecha

NOUINOU

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL
OF THE UNITED NATIONS

ORDER

MOTIONS FOR INTERVENTION

Counsel for Applicant:

Self-represented

Counsel for Respondent:

Alan Gutman ALD/OHR, UN Secretariat

Introduction

1. On 27 November 2018, the Applicant, a former Programme Management Assistant at the G-5 level, step 6, in the Office for Counter-Terrorism, filed an application on the merits contesting the decision to not extend her short-term appointment beyond its expiration date. This case is currently under review before the Tribunal.

2. On 31 January 2019, the Applicant filed a motion to “request the status of the Applicant’s candidacy for Job Opening [“JO”] 93592”. By Order No. 27 (NY/2019), the Tribunal rejected this request.

3. On 21 February 2019, the Applicant filed a submission for interim measures requesting the Tribunal to “order [Mr. X] to stay away from the Applicant and her husband and stop stalking them and approaching their existing locations”.

4. On 28 February 2019, the Applicant filed a motion for intervention requesting the Tribunal again to order the Respondent to “provide current status of the recruitment of JO 93592 and what the factual reasons of the fuzzy delay are”.

Consideration

5. In her 21 February 2019 motion, the Applicant is effectively requesting the Tribunal to restrain the movement of a staff member beyond the premises of the

Organization. Without delving into the merits of the claim, the Tribunal finds that it lacks competence to issue any such order.

6. With respect to the 28 February 2019 motion, the Tribunal reiterates that the recruitment of JO 93532 is a distinct matter not currently before the Tribunal. Therefore, the Tribunal does not at this point have jurisdiction on this matter.

7. The Tribunal further notes with concern that the Applicant has ignored Order No. 29 (NY/2019) directing her to seek leave of the Tribunal before filing any further motions in this case.

8. The Tribunal cautions the Applicant against abusing the process by filing submissions on matters already decided by the Tribunal, thereby unnecessarily engaging its limited resources. The Tribunal further reminds the Applicant of her duty to obey the orders of the Tribunal. If these abuses continue, there very well might be sanctions imposed as a result.

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

9. The 21 February 2019 and 28 February 2019 motions are rejected.

(Signed)

Judge Alexander W. Hunter, Jr.

Dated this 1st day of March 2019