



Before: Duty Judge

Registry: New York

Registrar: Pallavi Sekhri, Officer-in-Charge

SIDDIQUE

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL
OF THE UNITED NATIONS

ORDER

**ON SUSPENSION PENDING THE
CONSIDERATION OF AN
APPLICATION FOR SUSPENSION OF
ACTION UNDER ART. 2.2 OF THE
DISPUTE TRIBUNAL'S STATUTE**

Counsel for Applicant:
Marcos Zunino, OSLA

Counsel for Respondent:
UNOG

Introduction

1. On 30 June 2022, the Applicant, filed an application requesting urgent relief under art. 2.2 of the Dispute Tribunal’s Statute and art. 13 of its Rules of Procedure seeking to suspend, pending management evaluation, the decision to not renew his appointment.

2. Together with the application for suspension of action, the Applicant also filed a motion for suspension of the contested decision during the pendency of the Tribunal’s consideration of this application (a so-called *Villamorán*-type request).

3. By regular email of 30 June 2022, the Registry acknowledged receipt of the application. The undersigned Judge, as Duty Judge, further informed the parties that the motion for interim suspension was granted, and the present Order is issued to reaffirm this instruction.

Consideration

4. Article 13.3 (Suspension of action during a management evaluation) of the Tribunal’s Rules of Procedure provides that the Tribunal “shall consider an application for interim measures within five working days of the service of the application on the respondent”.

5. In *Villamorán* 2011-UNAT-160, the Appeals Tribunal upheld this Tribunal’s *Villamorán* Order No. 171 (NY/2011) finding that the Dispute Tribunal was within its competence to order a suspension of the contested decision pending the determination of the application for suspension of action without having to make a finding as to whether the requirements of a suspension of action under art. 2.2 of the Dispute Tribunal’s Statute and art. 13 of its Rules of Procedure had been met.

6. The Applicant submits that his appointment is to be terminated by 1 July 2022.

7. In order for the Tribunal to seek and consider the Respondent's reply to the present suspension of action application,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

8. Without prejudice to the Dispute Tribunal's decision on the application for suspension of action under art. 2.2 of its Statute and art. 13 of its Rules of Procedure, the Respondent shall not undertake, as from the date and time of service of the present Order, any further steps regarding the contested decision.

(Signed)

Judge Joelle Adda

Dated this 1st day of July 2022