Questionnaire on the Forum's 4POW 2021-2024

1.	Thematic priorities: The 4POW 2021-2024 consists of two technical-policy biennium: 2021-2022 and
	2023-2024. Consistent with Annex II of ECOSOC resolution 2017/4, the Forum's odd- and even-year
	sessions for each biennium will be thematically linked on the basis of the GFGs and targets, taking into
	account the post-2020 programme of work of the High-Level Political Forum on Sustainable
	Development (HLPF). In order to carry out this guidance, would it be useful for the work programme
	to (<u>check one</u>):

a.	Identify three GFGs and associated targets as thematic priorities for a technical exchange of
	experiences among Member States and partners and a subsequent policy review in each
	biennium (progress on all GFGs are reviewed in the four-year period), taking into account the post
	2020 HLPF programme of work? OR

- b. ____ Leave the decision on which GFGs will be the themes for each biennium to the Bureau (current practice) in order to take into account, the post-2020 HLPF programme of work, which is expected to be agreed upon before the end of 2019? OR
- c. <u>x</u> Other (please explain- Maximum 100 words)
- We believe there is first a need to review the agenda and outcomes against initial expectations for each technical/political session for the POW through 2020, in order to identify GFGs to be prioritized.
- Regarding "a.", we believe that it is odd to submit the outcomes of certain GFGs that were
 focused on during the 2022 political session for the mid-term review in 2024. We also fear that
 such an exercise may entail another revision in the format of the voluntary national reports,
 since the mid-term review is based on these reports.
- We prefer the idea of conducting a "preliminary mid-term review" of the current 4POW in the 2021-2022 session targeting all GFGs from each biennium. After such an assessment, Forum members could consider necessary updates and amendments to the GEFs during the 2023-2024 session, in our view.
- 2. Operational priorities: The 4POW 2021-2024 represents the Forum's contribution to implementing the UN Strategic Plan for Forests 2017-2030 (UNSPF). In your view, what are the Forum's main operational priorities in support of the UNSPF during this timeframe (check all that apply):

a.	Means of implementation for sustainable forest management (including GFFFN operations
	and resources)

- b. _x_MAR (follow up on the flagship publication and timing for 2nd round of national reporting)
- c. _____VNCs (announcements, implementation support and follow up)
- d. x Communication and outreach (including International Day of Forests)

	exPreparations for mid-term review of the International Arrangement on Forests in 2024 ¹ Preparations for the High-Level Segment at the Forum's session in 2024,
	f. Other (please list)
3.	Other elements: In addition to the Forum's thematic and operational priorities, what other elements should be reflected during 4POW 2021-2024 (check all that apply):
	 axContribution of CPF and its member organizations to UNSPF 2030 implementation, bxContribution of regional/subregional and Major Group partners to UNSPF 2030 implementation
	 cPost-2020 global framework for biodiversity under the Convention on Biological Diversity dThe initial global stock-taking under the Paris Agreement (to be conducted in 2023) eOther (please list)
4.	<u>Technical sessions</u> : Based on the experience of the 4POW 2017-2020, how could the Forum's technical sessions in 2021 and 2023 be organized to enhance the exchange of experiences and interaction among Member States, CPF and its member organizations, and regional/subregional and Major Group partners, and to address the science-policy interface (check all that apply):
	aReduce the number of panels to allow more time for exchange of experiences among participants
	bInclude a panel on the science-policy interface on the thematic priorities identified for each biennium
	cMinimize/eliminate the time allocated for opening general statements
	dProvide space for exhibits and/or training activities to highlight best practices
	ex_Other (please list)
•	There is a need to (re)organize the scope of discussion and agenda for each technical/political
	session on each theme of UNFF (such as Implementation of UNSPF, MAR, MoI etc) and share the outcomes of the review with Forum members prior to the Forum session for time efficiency.
•	In order to facilitate technical discussions more efficiently, we would like to urge the co-chairs and

In order to facilitate technical discussions more efficiently, we would like to urge the co-chairs and the Secretariat to strictly operate the session within the time allocated.

¹ Consistent with section XII of ECOSOC resolution 2015/33 and paragraph 66 of the UNSPF 2030