Questionnaire on the Forum's 4POW 2021-2024

UNITED STATES RESPONSE, 20 SEPTEMBER 2019

- <u>Thematic priorities</u>: The 4POW 2021-2024 consists of two technical-policy biennium: 2021-2022 and 2023-2024. Consistent with Annex II of ECOSOC resolution 2017/4, the Forum's odd- and even-year sessions for each biennium will be thematically linked on the basis of the GFGs and targets, taking into account the post-2020 programme of work of the High-Level Political Forum on Sustainable Development (HLPF). In order to carry out this guidance, would it be useful for the work programme to (check one):
 - a. _Yes__Identify three GFGs and associated targets as thematic priorities for a technical exchange of experiences among Member States and partners and a subsequent policy review in each biennium (progress on all GFGs are reviewed in the four-year period), taking into account the post 2020 HLPF programme of work? OR

GFGs 1-3 and 4-6 are reasonable divisions for the sessions. UNFF input to the HLPF POW may be addressed through panels. HLPF input should continue to be a Chair's Summary, not a negotiated outcome.

- b. __no___ Leave the decision on which GFGs will be the themes for each biennium to the Bureau (current practice) in order to take into account, the post-2020 HLPF programme of work, which is expected to be agreed upon before the end of 2019? OR
- c. _no__Other (please explain- Maximum 100 words)
- <u>Operational priorities</u>: The 4POW 2021-2024 represents the Forum's contribution to implementing the UN Strategic Plan for Forests 2017-2030 (UNSPF). In your view, what are the Forum's main operational priorities in support of the UNSPF during this timeframe (<u>check all that apply</u>):
 - a. <u>___Yes___</u>Means of implementation for sustainable forest management (including GFFFN operations and resources)
 - b. <u>___Yes___</u>MAR (follow up on the flagship publication and timing for 2nd round of national reporting, as well as the CPF core set of indicators)
 - __no__VNCs (announcements, implementation support and follow up)
 - VNCs are important, but implementation and capacity are captured by a., while progress and publicity are captured in b. and d.
 - d. __Yes__Communication and outreach (including International Day of Forests)
 - e. ____no___Preparations for mid-term review of the International Arrangement on Forests in 2024¹ ____no___Preparations for the High-Level Segment at the Forum's session in 2024,
 - This is not operational, they are planning for sessions, not part of UNSPF.
 - f. ___no__Other (please list)
- **3.** <u>Other elements</u>: In addition to the Forum's thematic and operational priorities, what other elements should be reflected during 4POW 2021-2024 (check all that apply):

¹ Consistent with section XII of ECOSOC resolution 2015/33 and paragraph 66 of the UNSPF 2030

- a. __Yes__Contribution of CPF and its member organizations to UNSPF 2030 implementation,
- b. <u>**Yes**</u> Contribution of regional/subregional and Major Group partners to UNSPF 2030 implementation
- c. __Yes__Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework Please note the global framework for biodiversity is not entirely under or restricted to the CBD.
- d. ___no___The initial global stock-taking under the Paris Agreement (to be conducted in 2023)
- e. ___no__Other (please list)
- 4. <u>Technical sessions</u>: Based on the experience of the 4POW 2017-2020, how could the Forum's technical sessions in 2021 and 2023 be organized to enhance the exchange of experiences and interaction among Member States, CPF and its member organizations, and regional/subregional and Major Group partners, and to address the science-policy interface (check all that apply):
 - a. <u>Yes</u>_Reduce the number of panels to allow more time for exchange of experiences among participants
 - There were generally two panels per session at UNFF 14, which did not leave time for important discussion. One panel per session, with a maximum of three in a day, would work better.
 - Panels should address the science-policy interface on the thematic priorities. Science-policy interface should be integrated into the design of panel questions and panelist selections in both technical sessions and policy sessions.
 - Panels can be used to address the HLPF themes.
 - Also, we suggest reducing the number of panelists on each panel to create ample time for comments from the floor.
 - b. _no__Include a panel on the science-policy interface on the thematic priorities identified for each biennium
 - Please view comment above integrating the science-policy interface on thematic panels.
 - c. _Yes__Minimize/eliminate the time allocated for opening general statements
 - d. __no_Provide space for exhibits and/or training activities to highlight best practices
 - As a very limited approach, perhaps there could be space specifically to highlight VNCs.
 - e. _no__Other (please list)