| Country: _ | <u>Japan</u> |                    |  |
|------------|--------------|--------------------|--|
| Date of su | bmission:    | 11, September 2014 |  |

### Voluntary National Report to the 11th Session of the United Nations Forum on Forests

#### **Background**

The eleventh session of the United Nations Forum on Forests (UNFF11) will be held from 4 to 15 May 2015 in New York. In accordance with the Forum's Multi-Year Program of Work for 2007-2015, the overall theme of UNFF11 is *Forests: progress, challenges and the way forward on the international arrangement on forests (IAF)*. The UNFF11 will, *inter-alia*:

- Review progress towards the achievement of the Global Objectives on Forests (GOFs) and implementation of the Non-Legally Binding Instrument on All Types of Forests (hereinafter referred to as the "Forest Instrument"), and
- Review the contribution of forests and the IAF, including the Forest Instrument, to the internationally agreed development goals, including the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs).

The submission of national reports by UNFF Member States on the above items will provide a crucial input to the Secretary-General's analytical, consolidated report to UNFF11 on the progress and trends in the above-mentioned areas, as well as to the Forum's deliberation on the future of the IAF.

Pursuant to Resolution 10/1 of the tenth session of the Forum<sup>1</sup>, the UNFF Secretariat (UNFFS) in collaboration with the CPF member organizations and in close consultations with countries, revised and streamlined the reporting format. The attached revised format builds on and further simplifies the UNFF10 reporting format. Specifically, the number of questions has been reduced by consolidating previous areas of overlap, and Member States are asked to submit only information that is not currently provided to CPF member organizations, or that is unavailable in other international databases.

The UNFF11 reporting format is presented in two parts. Part I includes questions related to the progress on the implementation of the Forest Instrument, including achievement of the GOFs. Part II includes questions on the contribution of forests and SFM to the achievement of the MDGs.

To the extent possible, the information submitted by countries to the UNFFS will be supplemented with quantitative data, *inter alia*, from the following international data providers:

- FAO, Forest Resources Assessment (FRA)/Collaborative Forest Resources Questionnaire (CRFQ) - FAO, Forest Europe, UNECE, ITTO, Montreal Process and OFAC
- Joint Forest Sector Questionnaire (JFSQ) FAO, ITTO, UNECE, EUROSTAT
- FAO, State of the World's Forests (SOFO) 2014
- Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) and Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Resolution 10/1 of UNFF10 requested the UNFF secretariat (UNFFS) to further streamline the guidelines and format for voluntary national reporting to the eleventh session of the Forum including a technical discussion on reporting methodology with relevant experts of Collaborative Partnership on Forest member organizations, taking into account types of information provided to Collaborative Partnership on Forest member organizations and through criteria and indicators and other regional processes and balanced regional workshops depending on available resources.(United Nations Forum on Forests, Report on the Tenth Session -8 to 19 April 2013, E/2013/42 \_E/CN.18/2013/18, Page 6)

### **Reporting Guidelines and Format**

Member States are kindly requested to:

- Submit their completed national report electronically (in MS Word) in English, French or Spanish to unff@un.org, by 30 September 2014, at the latest. Early submissions will greatly facilitate the Secretariat's preparation of the analytical, consolidated Secretary-General's report to UNFF11. Please note that the MS Word file of the reporting format can also be downloaded from the UNFF website at: http://www.un.org/esa/forests/ /unff11\_reporting\_template.doc
- Submit, in a separate electronic file, a scanned version of the official, signed letter conveying their submission from their respective Ministry, or a note verbale from their Permanent Mission to the United Nations in New York.
- 3. Submit any graphic elements included in their national report, as separate electronic files.
- 4. Check all boxes that apply to questions that have multiple-choice components. In addition to the "Yes" or "No" answers, countries are encouraged to provide brief descriptive narratives, as this provides context and background. Please also note that space provided for the descriptive information is limited to 250 words per question.

In view of the cross-cutting nature of issues related to forests and sustainable forest management (SFM), respondents are encouraged to consult with experts from relevant national ministries to complete the reporting format, including, for example, the ministries of environment, economic development, finance and agriculture. Respondents are also strongly encouraged to consult with the national focal points for the FAO Forest Resources Assessment (FRA), the Rio Conventions, other CPF member organizations and C&I processes, where applicable.

Please note that the Year 2007 – the year of the adoption of the Forest Instrument (2007), is used as a baseline throughout the document.

All terms used in this document are consistent with the FAO/FRA Working Paper 180 "Terms and Definitions FRA 2015": http://www.fao.org/docrep/017/ap862e/ap862e00.pdf

#### **Abbreviations**

C&I Criteria and Indicators processes

FAO Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

FLEGT Forest Law Enforcement, Governance and Trade

FRA FAO's global Forest Resources Assessment

GOF Global Objectives on Forests

ITTO International Tropical Timber Organization

MDGs Millennium Development Goals
NFP National Forest Programme

ODA Official Development Assistance
SIDS Small Island Developing States
SFM Sustainable forest management

UN United Nations

UNFF United Nations Forum on Forests

### **General information**

## **UNFF** national focal point

| Name:         | HATTORI Koji   |
|---------------|--|
| Title:        | Dupty Director, International Forestry Cooperation               |
| Address:      | 1-2-1 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda city Tokyo, JAPAN                    |
| Organization: | Forestry Agency, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries |
| Phone:        | +81 3 3591 8449  |
| Fax:          | +81 3 3593 9565  |
| Email:        | koji_hattori@nm.maff.go.jp                                       |

# Person to contact concerning the national report, if other than the UNFF national focal point

| Name:         |  |
|---------------|--|
| Title:        |  |
| Address:      |  |
| Organization: |  |
| Phone:        |  |
| Fax:          |  |
| Email:        |  |

# PART I:

# Progress on the implementation of the Forest Instrument, including achievement of the Global Objectives on Forests (GOFs)

| ۱. |   | n forest-relate       | d policies, legisla  |  | s your government<br>cement in support    |
|----|---|-----------------------|----------------------|--|---|
|    |   |                       | New                  | Amendment of e   | existing                                  |
|    | Forest policy   |                       |                      | $\boxtimes$  |   |
|    | Forest legislation  |                       |                      | $\boxtimes$  |   |
|    | National forest prog  | ramme                 |                      | $\boxtimes$  |   |
|    | Forest land tenure  |                       |                      |  |   |
|    | Other actions   |                       | $\boxtimes$          |  |   |
|    | None  |                       |                      |  |   |
|    | Please provide furth  | er information o      | on these and/or oth  | er actions:  |   |
|    |   | y based on For        | est and Forestry E   | orest legislation) and<br>Basic Act, was appro<br>me). |   |
|    | To what extent have Instrument?   | e these actions       |                      | advancing implemer                                     | ntation of the Forest                     |
|    |   | Effective             | Partially effective  | Not effective  | Not in place                              |
|    | Forest policy   | $\boxtimes$           |                      |  |   |
|    | Forest legislation  |                       |                      |  |   |
|    | NFP   | $\boxtimes$           |                      |  |   |
|    | Forest land tenures   |                       |                      |  |   |
|    | Other actions   |                       |                      |  |   |
|    | Please provide 2-3 (link(s) to relevant do  |                       | ective actions in su | pport of the Forest I                                  | nstrument and web-                        |
|    | The government of Japan revised the Forest Act and the Basic Plan for Forest and Forestry in order to revitalize Japan's forest and forestry as soon as possible by development of forest road network, efficient forestry practices by larger scale operations, and human resources development to achieve actions above as well as establishment the scheme for stable wood supply and use. (Reference)  Basic Plan for Forest and Forestry: http://www.rinya.maff.go.jp/j/kikaku/plan/index.html |                       |                      |  |   |
| 2. |   | ally-harvested<br>es? |                      |  | educe international<br>wildlife and other |

|              | Forests/SFM now have a higher priority in national development plans/strategies The contribution of forests/SFM to poverty eradication, food security, water security, employment is an ongoing subject of discussion Other, please list below:  these steps resulted in:  More Official Development Assistance (ODA) being programmed to SFM-related activities More domestic public resources devoted to SFM-related activities Increased awareness of the importance of forests/SFM to sustainable development Increased awareness of the importance of forests/SFM to poverty eradication Other, please list below: |
|--------------|---|
| Have         | The contribution of forests/SFM to poverty eradication, food security, water security, employment is an ongoing subject of discussion Other, please list below:  these steps resulted in:  More Official Development Assistance (ODA) being programmed to SFM-related activities  More domestic public resources devoted to SFM-related activities Increased awareness of the importance of forests/SFM to sustainable development Increased awareness of the importance of forests/SFM to poverty eradication  |
| Have         | The contribution of forests/SFM to poverty eradication, food security, water security, employment is an ongoing subject of discussion Other, please list below:  these steps resulted in:  More Official Development Assistance (ODA) being programmed to SFM-related activities  More domestic public resources devoted to SFM-related activities Increased awareness of the importance of forests/SFM to sustainable development Increased awareness of the importance of forests/SFM to poverty eradication  |
| Have         | The contribution of forests/SFM to poverty eradication, food security, water security, employment is an ongoing subject of discussion Other, please list below:  these steps resulted in:  More Official Development Assistance (ODA) being programmed to SFM-related activities More domestic public resources devoted to SFM-related activities Increased awareness of the importance of forests/SFM to sustainable development   |
| Have         | The contribution of forests/SFM to poverty eradication, food security, water security, employment is an ongoing subject of discussion Other, please list below:  these steps resulted in:  More Official Development Assistance (ODA) being programmed to SFM-related activities More domestic public resources devoted to SFM-related activities   |
| Have         | The contribution of forests/SFM to poverty eradication, food security, water security, employment is an ongoing subject of discussion Other, please list below:  these steps resulted in:  More Official Development Assistance (ODA) being programmed to SFM-related   |
| Have         | The contribution of forests/SFM to poverty eradication, food security, water security, employment is an ongoing subject of discussion Other, please list below:  these steps resulted in:   |
|              | The contribution of forests/SFM to poverty eradication, food security, water security, employment is an ongoing subject of discussion   |
|              | The contribution of forests/SFM to poverty eradication, food security, water security, employment is an ongoing subject of discussion   |
|              | The contribution of forests/SFM to poverty eradication, food security, water security, employment is an ongoing subject of discussion   |
|              |   |
|              | Forests/SFM now have a higher priority in national development plans/strategies   |
| $\boxtimes$  | Forests/SFM are now included in national development plans/strategies   |
| and<br>equiv | e 2007, what steps has your government taken to raise the importance of forests SFM in national development plans, poverty reduction strategies or other valent plans?  |
| Refe         | erence: http://www.rinya.maff.go.jp/j/press/boutai/110825.html  |
| timb         | promotion of trade and use of legally harvested wood and wood products and support per producing countries combating illegal logging and associated trade.  |
| are          | domestically harvested, processed and distributed as well as imported and exported,   |
| Aug          | ust 2011. Based on the Memorandum, both of the governments agreed to make efforts<br>by for development of a legality verification system of wood and wood products which   |
|              | government of Japan and the government of China signed the Memorandum on bating Illegal Logging and Associated Trade for Sustainable Forest Management on 19  |
| Pleas        | se provide 2-3 examples and, if possible, provide web-link(s) to relevant document(s):  |
| pho          | curement since 2006. In 2009, the government revised its procurement standard for to copy paper and has been promoting procurement of paper sourced from certified st and so on.  |
|              | Japanese government has made legal wood/wood products subject to government   |
|              | None Other, e.g. public procurement policies, public-private partnerships. Please list below:   |
|              | Bilateral agreements between exporting/importing countries  |
|              | Dileteral agreements between avacating linear artiss accountries  |
|              | Import controls   |
| $\boxtimes$  | ·   |

approved by the Cabinet on 24 June 2013, it is discribed that Japan promotes forestry as a growing industry by sustainable use of rich forest resources which should also be maintained and improved for multi-services.

Page 5 of 17

It is discribed that creation of new wood demand and development of stable and efficient supply system for domestic timber by sustainable use of rich forest resources, should be promoted in the "Outline of the Basic Policies for the Economic and Fiscal Management and Reform 2014" which is guideline for budget allocation for FS 2015, approved by the Cabinet on 24 June 2014 (Reference) Japan Revitalization Strategy: http://www.kantei.go.jp/jp/headline/seicho\_senryaku2013.html Outline of the Basic Policies for the Economic and Fiscal Management and Reform 2014: http://www5.cao.go.jp/keizai-shimon/kaigi/cabinet/2014/2014\_basicpolicies.pdf 4. Since 2007, has your government taken measures to strengthen coordination across ministries and departments whose policies have an impact on or may affect forests and SFM?  $\boxtimes$ Yes No If Yes, please specify which ministries and/or departments are involved and how effective these measures have been in implementing SFM: The government of Japan opens inter-ministry communications meetings on various themes as below to exchange of views and coordinate their policies. All ministries therefore, have been involved effectively in coordination. Partially Effective Not effective Not in place effective Agriculture/Rural  $\boxtimes$ Development Climate Change  $\boxtimes$ Environment  $\boxtimes$ Mining Energy Water **Tourism** Other Please provide 2-3 examples of cross-sectoral cooperation and, if possible, web-link(s) to relevant document(s): The Cabinet established the taskforce for creation of vitality in agriculture, forestry, fisheries and rural area on 21 May 2013, in which the prime minister is chief, and chief cabinet secretary and minister of Agliculture, Forestry and Fisheries are deputy-chief and other relevant ministers are members, in order to consider the way for agriculture, forestry, fisheries and rural area to be the source of vitality of the country and to be developed sustainably. The taskforce are active such as making decision of the Plan for creation of vitality in agriculture, forestry, fisheries and rural area on 10 December 2013. Reference: http://www.kantei.go.jp/jp/singi/nousui/ Based on the Basic Plan of the Act for Promotion of Use of Wood in Public Buildings (2010, No.36), all ministers developed the plan for promotion wood use and are implementing

them. The inter-ministries meeting has been held regulary to check the progress in

Reference: http://www.rinya.maff.go.jp/j/riyou/koukyou/index.html#20140117kaigi

implementation of plans.

Page 6 of 17

| 5. |   |   | ernment's<br>ound and o   |  |   |  |   |  |   |   | orogramme   |
|----|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|
|    |   | $\boxtimes$   | Yes   |  | No  |  |   |  |   |   |   |
|    |   |   | t the target<br>(s) to relev  |  |   |  | ey are t  | o be ac  | hieved a  | and, if pos   | ssible,   |
|    | Fores   | t area (10  |   |  | (   | (2010)<br>(2,510)  | 2015<br>2,510   | 2020<br>2,510  | 2030<br>2,510   | t and fore  | stry.   |
|    | Fost<br>Natu  |   |   |  | (   | (1,030)<br>(100)<br>(1,380)<br>(4,690)                       |   | 140<br>1,350   |   |   |   |
|    | Stoc<br>Total<br>The  | k per ha (<br>growth (m<br>growth pe  |   | ear (Cl  | /l/ha/yeaı  | (187)<br>(74)<br>() (2.9)                                    | 196<br>68<br>2.7  | 207<br>61<br>2.4   | 214<br>55<br>2.2  | ntai ndf  |   |
|    | Keleit  | ence. mup   | ).// vv vv vv .1111   | ya.man   | .go.jp/j/ki   | raru/pic   | л/ри/к  | IIIOIIKEI  | Nakuiioi  | itai.pui  |   |
| 6. |   |   | nat activit<br>d/or to enl  |  |   |  |   |  |   |   | he loss of<br>y:                                    |
|    |   | $\boxtimes$   | Affores   | tation   | 43  | 3,699  |   |  |   | ha  |   |
|    |   | $\boxtimes$   | Refores   | station  | 12  | ote: Tota<br>25,079<br>ote: Tota                             |   |  | -   | ha  |   |
|    |   |   | Restora   | ation  |   |  |   |  |   | ha  |   |
|    | Introdu   | ction or e  | nforcemen   | t of:  |   |  |   |  |   |   |   |
|    |   |   | g legislatio<br>reforestatio  |  | d at redu   | uction of  | defore  | station  | and/or  | support a   | fforestation  |
|    |   |   | gislation a<br>reforestation  |  | t reduction   | on of de   | eforesta  | ition an   | d/or sup  | oport of a  | fforestation  |
|    |   |   | gislation ar<br>ies for fore  |  |   |  |   |  |   |   |   |
|    | $\boxtimes$   |   | ies for fore  | •  |   |  |   |  |   |   |   |
|    |   |   | ed/deferred   |  |   |  |   |  |   |   |   |
|    | $\square$   |   | terest loans<br>please list   |  | est activi  | ities/mai  | nageme  | ent  |   |   |   |
|    |   | <b>3</b> ,  | <b>F</b> 10000  |  |   |  |   |  |   |   |   |
|    | docum   | ent(s):   |   |  | •   |  |   |  |   |   | to relevant   |
|    | govern<br>forest<br>measu<br>The F<br>form f<br>log, aq<br>loggin | nment is in<br>and forest<br>ures requitorest Act<br>or logging<br>ge of fore<br>g in priva | of forest an<br>responsible<br>stry' in the a<br>ired to imp<br>(1951, No.<br>g and silvic<br>st and how<br>te forest' in<br>nose Acts. | e for for<br>article 4<br>lement<br>249) s<br>ulture v | mulating<br>I and 'the<br>the polic<br>tipulates<br>which des<br>rest after | and impe Governies cond<br>that 'for<br>cribe ver<br>logging | element<br>of the second<br>dest own<br>of the second<br>dest of the second<br>dest o | ing com<br>shall tak<br>forest a<br>ner shal<br>forest,<br>mayor i | nprehen<br>se legisland<br>nd fores<br>I submit<br>area of<br>n advar | sive polic<br>ative and<br>stry' in the<br>the nortif<br>logging, t<br>ace in cas | ies for financial article 7. ication he way to e of |

| 7. |   | ypes of mechanis<br>SFM policy formulat  |   |   |   | stakeholders in   |  |  |
|----|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
|    | $\boxtimes$   | Roundtables/commit   | ttees have been established which meet regularly  |   |   |   |  |  |
|    |   | Forest authorities methem arise  | neet with stake   | holders on an   | ad hoc basis as   | issues affecting  |  |  |
|    | $\boxtimes$   | Other, please list be  | low:  |   |   |   |  |  |
|    | held re<br>experie<br>Agency<br>forestry<br>of view<br>Plan fo  | on the chapter 7 of the gularly, the forestry pence to study and dely usually holds meeting policy. Further Japans from nations in advertigation of the forestry, Regional Plans for the gulary of the standard forestry,  | policy council co<br>eliberate importa<br>ngs with various<br>an is adopting the<br>vance of decision<br>the Nationwide   | onsists of persor<br>ant matters on f<br>is stakeholders r<br>ne public common<br>on for such as im<br>is Forest Plan, Ro                                     | ns with relevant ke<br>forest and forestry<br>related matters in<br>ent system to call<br>aportant plans inc  | nowledge and v. Forestry implementing for wide range luding the Basic   |  |  |
|    | Llow off  | ective are these mec   | hanisms in pror   | moting concens  | is approaches:  |   |  |  |
|    | I IOW CIT   | ective are these mec   | Effective   | Partially effective   | Not effective   | Not in place  |  |  |
|    | Private   | e sector   | $\boxtimes$   |   |   |   |  |  |
|    | Forest  | workers  | $\boxtimes$   |   | $\overline{\Box}$   | $\overline{\Box}$   |  |  |
|    | Local   | communities  | $\boxtimes$   |   | $\overline{\Box}$   | $\overline{\Box}$   |  |  |
|    | Indiger   | nous communities   | $\boxtimes$   | $\Box$  | $\Box$  |   |  |  |
|    | NGOs  |  |   |   |   |   |  |  |
|    |   | al Public  |   | _   | _   |   |  |  |
|    | Genera  | ai Fublic  | $\boxtimes$   |   |   |   |  |  |
|    |   | ai Fublic<br>provide 2-3 examples  | _   | ∟<br>le, provide web-   | -link(s) to relevant  | document(s):  |  |  |
|    | Please  |  | s, and, if possib<br>http://www.rinya   | a.maff.go.jp/j/rin  | sei/singikai/   | document(s):  |  |  |
| 8. | Please   The fo The pu  | provide 2-3 examples   | s, and, if possib<br>http://www.rinya<br>n: http://www.e-<br>nd indigenous  | a.maff.go.jp/j/rin<br>gov.go.jp/help/a  | sei/singikai/<br>about_pb.html  |   |  |  |
| 8. | The fo<br>The pu<br>To wha<br>publicly  | provide 2-3 examples restry policy council: ublic comment system  at extent do local ar y-owned forests?  Completely Partially Partially None Not applica provide 2-3 examples   | s, and, if possib<br>http://www.rinya<br>n: http://www.e-<br>nd indigenous  | a.maff.go.jp/j/rin<br>gov.go.jp/help/a<br>communities   | sei/singikai/ about_pb.html  have tenure or u  communities are  | user rights over  |  |  |
| 8. | Please goods a docume  Based contrib owners (by gro   | provide 2-3 examples restry policy council: ublic comment system  at extent do local ar y-owned forests?  Completely Partially Partially None Not applica provide 2-3 examples   | http://www.rinyan: http://www.e- hd indigenous  hble es how local and by forests  on of Rights for a coment of agriculation odernize their a surface right. In                            | a.maff.go.jp/j/ringov.go.jp/help/acommunities  and indigenous and, if possible Common-Fores ture and forestruse right to stabn national forest                | communities are provide web-ling tuse (1966, No. y Japan, is making le and change it to, Forestry Agence  | e benefiting from<br>nk(s) to relevant<br>126), in order to<br>g use right<br>o property right                      |  |  |
|    | Please   The form The purpose   To what publicly  Please goods a docume   Based contribution owners (by ground national)  Since 2 | provide 2-3 examples restry policy council: ablic comment system at extent do local ary-owned forests?  Completely Partially Partially None Not applicate provide 2-3 examples and services produce ent(s):  of the Act on Revision but to sound develops of common-forest mount or individuals ) or all forest to the local particular policy.  | s, and, if possib http://www.rinya n: http://www.e- nd indigenous  ble es how local a ed by forests on of Rights for ment of agricul nodernize their is surface right. In ecople besed or | a.maff.go.jp/j/ringov.go.jp/help/acommunities  and indigenous and, if possible Common-Foresture and forestruse right to stabe national forest application and | sei/singikai/ about_pb.html  have tenure or u  communities are e, provide web-lie t Use (1966, No. y Japan, is making le and change it t t, Forestry Agency | e benefiting from<br>nk(s) to relevant<br>126), in order to<br>g use right<br>o property right<br>y lends a part of |  |  |
|    | Please   The form The purpose   To what publicly  Please goods a docume   Based contribution owners (by ground national)  Since 2 | provide 2-3 examples restry policy council: ublic comment system at extent do local aryowned forests?  Completely Partially Partially None Not applica provide 2-3 example and services produce ent(s):  of the Act on Revision of common-forest many or individuals ) or all forest to the local partial to implement the council of the counci | s, and, if possib http://www.rinya n: http://www.e- nd indigenous  ble es how local a ed by forests on of Rights for ment of agricul nodernize their is surface right. In ecople besed or | a.maff.go.jp/j/ringov.go.jp/help/acommunities  and indigenous and, if possible Common-Foresture and forestruse right to stabe national forest application and | sei/singikai/ about_pb.html  have tenure or u  communities are e, provide web-lie t Use (1966, No. y Japan, is making le and change it t t, Forestry Agency | e benefiting from<br>nk(s) to relevant<br>126), in order to<br>g use right<br>o property right<br>y lends a part of |  |  |

| □ 10-20 years  Other, please specify:  These strategies take into account:  □ Domestic public funding □ Domestic private funding □ Public international (including ODA and REDD+) □ External private funding  Please provide 2-3 examples, and, if possible, provide web-link(s) to relevant document(s):  Has your government established one or more systems/mechanisms for payment for ecosystem services (PES) provided by forests? □ Yes □ No  If Yes, please specify, and, if possible, provide the estimated total value of these payments since 2007: □ Watershed protection/water supply USD unkown □ Carbon storage (including REDD+) USD □ Nature conservation  Note: Total from FY 2007 to 2013. Annual revenue Estimation of Forest Environment Tax uniquely-imposed by local governments (mainly prefectures) as below; □ Other, please list below:  USD FY 2013 275 mil. USD (97.60.JPY/USD), FY 2012 330 mil. USD (79.59.JPY/USD), FY 2012 330 mil. USD (79.59.JPY/USD), FY 2013 258 mil. USD (93.57.JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (93.57.JPY/USD), FY 2008 198 mil. USD (103.36.JPY/USD), FY 2008 198 mil. USD (103.36.JPY/USD), FY 2007 128 mil. USD (117.75.JPY/USD)  Since 2003, 35 prefectures out of total 47 prefectures established and have imposed their |       | 2-5 years<br>5-10 years                   |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|-------|---|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| These strategies take into account:  Domestic public funding Domestic private funding Public international (including ODA and REDD+) External private funding Please provide 2-3 examples, and, if possible, provide web-link(s) to relevant document(s):  Has your government established one or more systems/mechanisms for payment for ecosystem services (PES) provided by forests?  Yes No If Yes, please specify, and, if possible, provide the estimated total value of these payments since 2007:  Watershed protection/water supply USD unkown Carbon storage (including REDD+) USD Nature conservation USD approx. 1,763 million Note: Total from FY 2007 to 2013. Annual revenue Estimation of Forest Environment Tax uniquely-imposed by local governments (mainly prefectures) as below;  Other, please list below: USD FY 2013 275 mil. USD (97.60.JPY/USD), FY 2012 330 mil. USD (79.79.JPY/USD), FY 2011 312 mil. USD (79.79.JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (87.78.JPY/USD), FY 2010 3.57.JPY/USD), FY 2007 128 mil. USD (117.75.JPY/USD)   |       | -   |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic public funding Domestic private funding Domestic private funding Public international (including ODA and REDD+) External private funding Please provide 2-3 examples, and, if possible, provide web-link(s) to relevant document(s):  Has your government established one or more systems/mechanisms for payment for ecosystem services (PES) provided by forests?  Yes No If Yes, please specify, and, if possible, provide the estimated total value of these payments since 2007:  Watershed protection/water supply USD unkown Carbon storage (including REDD+) USD Nature conservation USD approx. 1,763 million Note: Total from FY 2007 to 2013. Annual revenue Estimation of Forest Environment Tax uniquely-imposed by local governments (mainly prefectures) as below;  Other, please list below: USD FY 2013 275 mil. USD (97.60JPY/USD), FY 2011 312 mil. USD (79.79JPY/USD), FY 2011 312 mil. USD (79.79JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (87.78JPY/USD), FY 2008 198 mil. USD (103.36JPY/USD), FY 2007 128 mil. USD (117.75JPY/USD)   |       | Other, please specify:                    |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic public funding Domestic private funding Domestic private funding Public international (including ODA and REDD+) External private funding Please provide 2-3 examples, and, if possible, provide web-link(s) to relevant document(s):  Has your government established one or more systems/mechanisms for payment for ecosystem services (PES) provided by forests?  Yes No If Yes, please specify, and, if possible, provide the estimated total value of these payments since 2007:  Watershed protection/water supply USD unkown Carbon storage (including REDD+) USD Nature conservation USD approx. 1,763 million Note: Total from FY 2007 to 2013. Annual revenue Estimation of Forest Environment Tax uniquely-imposed by local governments (mainly prefectures) as below;  Other, please list below: USD FY 2013 275 mil. USD (97.60JPY/USD), FY 2011 312 mil. USD (79.79JPY/USD), FY 2011 312 mil. USD (79.79JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (87.78JPY/USD), FY 2008 198 mil. USD (103.36JPY/USD), FY 2007 128 mil. USD (117.75JPY/USD)   |       |   |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic private funding Public international (including ODA and REDD+) External private funding  Please provide 2-3 examples, and, if possible, provide web-link(s) to relevant document(s):  Has your government established one or more systems/mechanisms for payment for ecosystem services (PES) provided by forests?  Yes  | These | e strategies take into account:           |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public international (including ODA and REDD+)  External private funding  Please provide 2-3 examples, and, if possible, provide web-link(s) to relevant document(s):  Has your government established one or more systems/mechanisms for payment for ecosystem services (PES) provided by forests?  Yes No  If Yes, please specify, and, if possible, provide the estimated total value of these payments since 2007:  Watershed protection/water supply USD unkown  Carbon storage (including REDD+) USD  Nature conservation USD  approx. 1,763 million  Note: Total from FY 2007 to 2013. Annual revenue Estimation of Forest Environment Tax uniquely-imposed by local governments (mainly prefectures) as below;  Other, please list below: USD  (97.60JPY/USD), FY 2011 312 mil. USD (79.81JPY/USD), FY 2011 312 mil. USD (79.81JPY/USD), FY 2011 312 mil. USD (79.81JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (87.78JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (103.36JPY/USD), FY 2007 128 mil. USD (103.36JPY/USD), FY 2007 128 mil. USD (117.75JPY/USD)   |       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·     |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| □ External private funding  Please provide 2-3 examples, and, if possible, provide web-link(s) to relevant document(s):  ### Has your government established one or more systems/mechanisms for payment for ecosystem services (PES) provided by forests?    Yes  |       |   | 004         | 10500   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Please provide 2-3 examples, and, if possible, provide web-link(s) to relevant document(s):    Has your government established one or more systems/mechanisms for payment for ecosystem services (PES) provided by forests?   Yes   |       |   | ODA and     | d REDD+)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Has your government established one or more systems/mechanisms for payment for ecosystem services (PES) provided by forests?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  If Yes, please specify, and, if possible, provide the estimated total value of these payments since 2007:  ☐ Watershed protection/water supply ☐ USD ☐ (79.79JPY/USD), FY 2012 330 mil. USD (79.81JPY/USD), FY 2011 312 mil. USD (79.81JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (79.79JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (87.78JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (87.78JPY/USD), FY 2007 128 mil. USD (117.75JPY/USD)  | Dlaga | •   | مر ماه در ا | inly(a) to valouent decument(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ecosystem services (PES) provided by forests?    Yes  | Pleas | e provide 2-3 examples, and, ii possible, | provide w   | /eb-iink(s) to relevant document(s):  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ecosystem services (PES) provided by forests?    Yes  |       |   |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ecosystem services (PES) provided by forests?    Yes  |       |   |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ecosystem services (PES) provided by forests?    Yes  |       |   |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes   |       |   |             | tems/mechanisms for payment for   |  |  |  |  |  |
| If Yes, please specify, and, if possible, provide the estimated total value of these payments since 2007:   ☑ Watershed protection/water supply USD unkown  ☐ Carbon storage (including REDD+) USD  ☐ Nature conservation USD  approx. 1,763 million  Note: Total from FY 2007 to 2013.  Annual revenue Estimation of Forest Environment Tax uniquely-imposed by local governments (mainly prefectures) as below;  ☑ Other, please list below:  USD  FY 2013 275 mil. USD (97.60JPY/USD), FY 2012 330 mil. USD (79.79JPY/USD), FY 2011 312  mil. USD (79.79JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (87.78JPY/USD), FY 2009 252 mil. USD (93.57JPY/USD), FY 2008 198 mil. USD (103.36JPY/USD), FY 2007 128 mil. USD (117.75JPY/USD)   | ecosy |   | StS?        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| since 2007:   |       |   |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Watershed protection/water supply         <ul> <li>Carbon storage (including REDD+)</li> <li>Nature conservation</li> <li>USD</li> <li>Approx. 1,763 million</li> <li>Note: Total from FY 2007 to 2013.</li></ul></li></ul>  |       |   | e the est   | imated total value of these payments  |  |  |  |  |  |
| □ Carbon storage (including REDD+) □ Nature conservation    Solution  | _     |   | USD         | unkown  |  |  |  |  |  |
| □ Nature conservation  USD  approx. 1,763 million  Note: Total from FY 2007 to 2013.  Annual revenue Estimation of Forest Environment Tax uniquely-imposed by local governments (mainly prefectures) as below;  USD  Other, please list below:  USD  FY 2013 275 mil. USD  (97.60JPY/USD), FY 2012 330 mil.  USD (79.79JPY/USD), FY 2011 312 mil. USD (79.81JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (79.81JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (87.78JPY/USD), FY 2009 252 mil. USD  (93.57JPY/USD), FY 2008 198 mil. USD (103.36JPY/USD), FY 2007 128 mil. USD (117.75JPY/USD)  |       |   |             | dillo III.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note: Total from FY 2007 to 2013.  Annual revenue Estimation of Forest Environment Tax uniquely-imposed by local governments (mainly prefectures) as below;  USD  FY 2013 275 mil. USD  (97.60JPY/USD), FY 2012 330 mil.  USD (79.79JPY/USD), FY 2011 312 mil. USD (79.81JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (87.78JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (93.57JPY/USD), FY 2008 198 mil. USD (103.36JPY/USD), FY 2007 128 mil. USD (117.75JPY/USD)   |       |   | USD         |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual revenue Estimation of Forest Environment Tax uniquely-imposed by local governments (mainly prefectures) as below;  USD  Other, please list below:  USD  FY 2013 275 mil. USD  (97.60JPY/USD), FY 2012 330 mil. USD (79.79JPY/USD), FY 2011 312 mil. USD (79.79JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (87.78JPY/USD), FY 2009 252 mil. USD  (93.57JPY/USD), FY 2008 198 mil. USD (103.36JPY/USD), FY 2007 128 mil. USD (117.75JPY/USD)  |       |   |             | approx. 1,763 million   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (97.60JPY/USD), FY 2012 330 mil. USD (79.79JPY/USD), FY 2011 312 mil. USD (79.81JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (87.78JPY/USD), FY 2009 252 mil. USD (93.57JPY/USD), FY 2008 198 mil. USD (103.36JPY/USD), FY 2007 128 mil. USD (117.75JPY/USD)  |       |   |             | Annual revenue Estimation of Forest Environment Tax uniquely-imposed by local governments (mainly   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Since 2003, 35 prefectures out of total 47 prefectures established and have imposed their   |       | Other, please list below:                 | USD         | (97.60JPY/USD), FY 2012 330 mil. USD (79.79JPY/USD), FY 2011 312 mil. USD (79.81JPY/USD), FY 2010 268 mil. USD (87.78JPY/USD), FY 2009 252 mil. USD (93.57JPY/USD), FY 2008 198 mil. USD (103.36JPY/USD), FY 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
| own forest environment tax which are sources for improvement of forest for fulfillment of   |       |   |             |   |  |  |  |  |  |

own forest environment tax which are sources for improvement of forest for fulfillment of forest multi-functions such as landslide prevention and soil conservation, water resource conservation, global environment conservation by absorbing CO2, awareness raising and forest environmental education.

Please provide 2-3 examples of PES and, if possible, provide web-link(s) to relevant document(s):

Many public water suppliers for the area including large scale city, ensure financial resources by adding a fee on water fee for consumers, and manage as "water source forest" at the head of a river intaking water in order to secure stable river flow and conserve water reservoir.

(Reference)

Water Source Forest, Bureau of Waterworks, Tokyo Metropolitan Government:

http://www.waterworks.metro.tokyo.jp/water/pp/suigenrin/
Protection of DOSHI water source, YOKOHAMA City Waterworks Bureau:

http://www.city.yokohama.lg.jp/suidou/kyoku/torikumi/suigen-hozen/

11. Since 2007, has your government been able to mobilize significantly increased financial resources for the implementation of SFM? If yes, please specify sources:

|                |   |   | 2001   | 2013   |  |  |  |
|----------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|                |   | Domestic public funding   | USD approx.<br>1,672 million   | USD approx.<br>2,055 milion                          |  |  |  |
|                |   | Domestic private funding  | USD  | USD  |  |  |  |
|                |   | Public international funding (including ODA and REDD+)  | USD  | USD  |  |  |  |
|                |   | External private funding  | USD  | USD  |  |  |  |
| those<br>97.63 | Note: Above amounts of money are budget for forest improvement including extra budget in those FY. Currency exchange rates used for estimation are 117.76 JPY/USD in 2007 and 97.63 JPY/USD in 2013.  If there has been no increase, please describe the main challenges in mobilizing funds: |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |   | what steps has your governme<br>SFM and forests?  | nt taken to enco   | urage private sector                                 |  |  |  |
|                | ment in   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | ment in<br>Policy   | SFM and forests?  | eater private investm  | nent   |  |  |  |
| investi        | ment in<br>Policy<br>Financ<br>etc.   | SFM and forests? and legal reforms that encourage gr  | eater private investn<br>tees, tax breaks, em  | nent<br>ployment subsidies                           |  |  |  |
| investi        | nent in Policy Financetc. Establi   | SFM and forests?  and legal reforms that encourage gradial incentives, such as credit guarantishment of markets for ecosystem seach to the private sector (leaflets, contact the sector). | eater private investm<br>tees, tax breaks, em<br>ervices provided by f                   | nent<br>ployment subsidies<br>orests                 |  |  |  |
| investi        | Policy Financetc. Establi Outrea  | SFM and forests?  and legal reforms that encourage gradial incentives, such as credit guarantishment of markets for ecosystem seach to the private sector (leaflets, contact the sector). | eater private investmess, tax breaks, emervices provided by for formal formal fairs prom | nent uployment subsidies orests noting investment in |  |  |  |
| investi        | Policy Financetc. Establi Outreatorests Develo  | SFM and forests? and legal reforms that encourage gradial incentives, such as credit guarantishment of markets for ecosystem seach to the private sector (leaflets, cons)                 | eater private investmess, tax breaks, emervices provided by for formal formal fairs prom | nent uployment subsidies orests noting investment in |  |  |  |

12.

Please provide 2-3 examples, and, if possible, provide web-link(s) to relevant document(s):

Japan's forests have multi-functions such as water resource conservation, landslide prevention and soil conservation, global environment conservation, and forestry production. For sustainable fulfillment of those functions, Japan's forests need forest improvement practices such as reforestation, tending and thinning mainly in planted forests which cover about 40% of Japan's total forest area. Forest improvement practices are usually conducted as forestry productive activities which are continuous measures in long term so that they are promoted deliberately under supportive scheme by public.

|  | $\boxtimes$  | Yes   |  | No  |                                    |  |  |                   |
|--|--|---|--|---|------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------|
| If Yes,  | please   | specify with  | whom:  |   |                                    |  |  |                   |
| Type of  | ⊠<br>⊠<br>⊠<br>□   | Private se<br>NGOs<br>Others  | rnmenta  | ll Organization<br>lanthropy  |                                    |  |  |                   |
|  |  | North-Sor<br>South-So<br>Technical<br>Financial<br>Others                               | uth  |   |                                    |  |  |                   |
| And sp   | ecify the  | e areas of c  | ooperat  | ion:  |                                    |  |  |                   |
|  | Forest<br>Valuat<br>Socio-<br>Forest<br>Scient<br>Forest<br>Techn        | economic is<br>degradatio<br>ific coopera<br>monitoring                                 | ystem s<br>ssues, in<br>n and re<br>tion<br>/data co     | ervices provided<br>ncluding liveliho<br>ehabilitation  | ods                                |  |  |                   |
| Please relevan   |  |   | nples of   | international c   | cooperation                        | , and, if po   | ossible, web-                                | -link(s) to       |
| Japan<br>financ<br>as ITT<br>in the<br>USD i<br>(Refer<br>International) | implemial coop<br>O and forestry<br>n total.<br>rence)<br>ational forest | nents internorments internorments internorments factor in 2 forestry cooures on nature. | I multira<br>ding to<br>012, wh<br>peration<br>aral resc | contribution to p<br>leral cooperatio<br>OECD, Japan p<br>ich is 250 billion<br>a: http://www.rin<br>urces:<br>ues/natural_en | n through in rovided the n USD amo | nternational<br>e second lar<br>ng world OI<br>.jp/j/kaigai/ir | organization<br>gest amount<br>DA of 1,230 b | s such<br>of fund |

(Reference)

Please list the key challenges faced by your government in implementing SFM:

The key challenges to implement SFM by international cooperation vary according to the states of countries where forests grow, climate, forest types, forest uses, forest governance, and legal frameworks.

| 14. |   |  |  |  | more institutes or centers of excellence engaged in the f scientific, technical and technological innovations for   |
|-----|---|--|--|--|---|
|     |   | $\boxtimes$  | Yes  |  | No  |
|     | If Yes,   | what are   | eas do thes  | se techn   | ical/scientific activities focus on:  |
|     | $\boxtimes$   | Forest   | inventory s  | systems  |   |
|     | $\boxtimes$   | Low-in   | npact loggir   | ng or oth  | ner harvesting techniques   |
|     | $\boxtimes$   | Wood   | production   | for ener   | gy  |
|     |   |  | processing   |  | •   |
|     | $\boxtimes$   |  | reduction a  | •  | cling   |
|     |   | Other,   | please list  | below:   |   |
|     |   |  |  |  |   |
|     |   |  |  |  |   |
|     | Are the   | se prog  | rams direct  | ed at:   |   |
|     |   | $\boxtimes$  | Forest ov  | vners  |   |
|     |   | $\boxtimes$  | Timber co  | ompanie  | es/forest products industry   |
|     |   | $\boxtimes$  | State age  | encies   |   |
|     |   | $\boxtimes$  | Local con  | nmunitie   | es  |
|     |   |  | Indigenou  | ıs comm  | nunities  |
|     |   | $\boxtimes$  | NGOs   |  |   |
|     |   | $\boxtimes$  | General p  | oublic   |   |
|     | Since 2   | 2007, ha   | s funding f  | or forest  | t-related science and research increased?   |
|     |   |  | Yes  |  | No  |
|     | Please  | describ  | e, and, if po  | ossible,   | provide web-link(s) to relevant document(s):  |
|     | to sus impler produthe Active States 1. To lecture 2. To forest 3. To 4. To | tain yiel menting ction and the cope of implement and for implement implemen | d cultivation comprehend distribution of Forestry at the task is t | n of forensive teston of quant forensive tion and tion an | d Forest Product Research Institute (FFPRI) is to contribute ests as well as to develop forestry technology through st and research in the field of forest and forestry and ality tree seeds and seedlings (stipulated by the article 3 of est Product Research Institute).  Wing; The test and research, survey, analysis, assessment and distribution of samples necessary for test and research of distribution of quality tree seeds and seedlings ork as above-mentioned preceding three articles go.jp/index.html |
|     |   |  | FFPRI, ma<br>vood indust   |  | ectures have established research institutes of forest,   |
|     | .5.000  | , <u></u>  |  | · J ·  |   |
| 15. |   |  | has you<br>efits provi   |  | try undertaken to increase public awareness of the forests?   |
|     | -   | $\boxtimes$  | -  | -  | casts on TV and/or Radio  |
|     |   |  |  |  | als, e.g. brochures, pamphlets, leaflets, or/and posters, etc.  |
|     |   | $\boxtimes$  |  |  | e general public  |
|     |   |  | Art event  |  | -   |

|  |  | Activities in support of the International Day of Forests   |
|--|--|---|
|  | ⊠<br>  | Other   |
|  | •  | e 2-3 examples, and, if possible, provide web-link(s) to relevant document(s):  |
| p<br>n<br>p                            | eoples for for all magazing  | ency carry out various public relations campaign to deepen understanding of corest and forestry policy and measures through information paper "RINYA", ence, TV and radio programme. In addition, Forestry Agency encourage the corest-related novel and movie and cooperate to produce them in collabolation medias  |
| F<br>h<br>p<br>tu<br>F<br>T<br>fo<br>T | Reference: he hational held annually presence of people concernes are placed are the held of the hational prests since Princess, an egion in the Their Majest Crown Prince | http://www.rinya.maff.go.jp/j/kouhou/kouhousitu/index.html tree-planting festival, which is a major greening campaign in Japan, has been y to foster the people's love towards forests since 1950 with the grace of the Their Majesties the Emperor and Empress, and the participants of relevant erned greening activities from every region in the country. In the ceremony, nted by the Their Majesties the Emperor and Empress and participants. http://www.rinya.maff.go.jp/j/ryokka/syokuju/ tree-care festival has been held annually to foster the people's love towards 1977 with the grace of Their Imperial Highnesses the Crown Prince and Crown of the participants of relevant people concerned greening activities from every country. In the ceremony, trees are cared (e.g. pruning of trees planted by lies the Emperor and Empress before) by the Their Imperial Highnesses the e and Crown Princess and participants. http://www.rinya.maff.go.jp/j/ryokka/ikuju/ |
|  |  |   |
| 16. <b>W</b>                           | hat sets of  | criteria and indicators (C&I) for SFM are used in your country:   |
|  |  | National set of C&I  Regional/international set of C&I (e.g. ITTO, Forest Europe, Montreal  |
|  |  | Process, Taraporto etc.)  |
|  |  | Other   |
| _                                      |  | be, and, if possible, provide web-link(s) to relevant document(s):  |
| a<br>te<br>2<br>n                      | assessment<br>emperate ar<br>2007. Montre  | ember country of Montreal Process that developed and implements with, criteria and indicators for conservation and sustainable management of and boreal forests. Japan has hosted the liason office of Montreal Process since eal Process will make publish on regional analysis in state of forest tusing Collaborative Forest Resources Questionnaire at World Forestry 2015.   |
| Ar                                     | e these C&I  | used to:  |
|  | ⊠ Gene   | rate information to national reports on forest conditions and management  |
|  |  | or and assess and monitor forest conditions and management  |
|  |  | w and develop national forest policies and tools for SFM nunicate with society and carry out dialogue with stakeholders   |
|  |  | rt on forests to regional and international organizations If so, which ones:  |
| T                                      | he report is   | for Montreal Process.   |
|  |  |   |
|  |  |   |
|  |  | Intry translated the Forest Instrument into another language (not including N languages: Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish)?  |
| Т                                      | he Forest In   | nstrument has been translated into Japanese.  |
|  |  |   |

18. Please give examples of your country's success stories and lessons learned related to the implementation of the Forest Instrument, including contributions towards the four Global Objectives on Forests:

Forests make significant contribution to people's living and national economy through fulfillment of multiple functional roles of forests such as landslide prevention, watershed conservation, and wood production. Particularly there is growing emphasis on functional role in global warming prevention recent years, and active measures for forest improvement are required as carbon sink. Given this situation, the government of Japan has taken various measures, including fostering effective and stable forest management, establishment of wood processing and distribution system, and expansion of wood use.

## PART II

# Contribution of forests and SFM to achievement of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs)

The aim of Part II of this reporting questionnaire is to learn how forests and SFM have contributed to achieving the MDGs in your country, particularly with respect to poverty and hunger eradication (MDG1), environmental sustainability (MDG7) and developing a global partnership for development (MDG8).

| development (mb co).  |            |            |           |             |             |  |  |  |
|---|------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| 19. How have forests/SFM contributed to era in your country?  | dicating   | extreme    | poverty a | ind hunge   | er (MDG1)   |  |  |  |
| Please rate the scale of contribution on a scale of 1-5 (1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest)  |            |            |           |             |             |  |  |  |
| By:   | 1          | 2          | 3         | 4           | 5           |  |  |  |
| Improving livelihoods   |            |            |           |             | $\boxtimes$ |  |  |  |
| Supporting subsistence needs, including fuel wood, fodder and shelter   |            |            |           |             | $\boxtimes$ |  |  |  |
| Contributing to food security, including through agroforestry systems   |            |            |           | $\boxtimes$ |             |  |  |  |
| Providing employment, including employment in informal economy  |            |            |           |             | $\boxtimes$ |  |  |  |
| Other: [  |            |            |           |             |             |  |  |  |
| Please describe, and, if possible, provide web-li   | nk(s) to r | elevant do | cument(s) | ):          |             |  |  |  |
| account for around half of forestry outputs of Japan, play important roles to promote local economy and to provide local employment in addition to wood production.  Reference: http://www.rinya.maff.go.jp/j/tokuyou/tokusan/  20. How have forests/SFM contributed to ensuring environmental sustainability (MDG7) in your country? |            |            |           |             |             |  |  |  |
| Please rate the scale of contribution on a scale of 1-5 (1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest)  |            |            |           |             |             |  |  |  |
| Ву:   | 1          | 2          | 3         | 4           | 5           |  |  |  |
| Providing habitat   |            |            |           |             | $\boxtimes$ |  |  |  |
| Supporting ecosystem, species and genetic diversity   |            |            |           |             | $\boxtimes$ |  |  |  |
| Stabilizing soils and slopes  |            |            |           |             | $\boxtimes$ |  |  |  |
| Safeguarding water resources  |            |            |           |             | $\boxtimes$ |  |  |  |
| Sequestering carbon   |            |            |           |             | $\boxtimes$ |  |  |  |
| Providing timber, energy and other products   | П          |            |           | $\boxtimes$ | П           |  |  |  |

| Other   |  |   |   |   | $\boxtimes$  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Please describe, and, if possible, provide a web-link to re   | levant do  | cument(   | s):   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Japan's forests have multiple functional roles including watershed conservation, landslide prevention, global warming prevention through carbon sequestration, biodiversity conservation, and forest products supply such as timbers, and the forests make important contribution to people's living and national economy in Japan. (Reference)  Multiple functional roles of forests:  http://www.rinya.maff.go.jp/j/keikaku/tamenteki/index.html  |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21. How have forests/SFM contributed to developing a global partnership for development (MDG8) in your country?   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Please rate the scale of contribution on a scale of 1-5 (1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest)  |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ву:   | 1  | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5  |  |  |  |  |
| Engaging in regional and international collaboration of issues related to improved governance   | on 🗆   |   |   | $\boxtimes$   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Through trade agreements involving forest products (e.g. bilateral/sub-regional/regional/inter-regional free trade agreements, EU voluntary partnership agreements, etc.)   | · 🗆  |   | $\boxtimes$   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fostering partnerships with the private sector  |  |   |   | $\boxtimes$   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attracting foreign investment in the forest sector  |  | $\boxtimes$   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fostering bilateral and international financial cooperation   |  |   |   |   | $\boxtimes$  |  |  |  |  |
| Fostering north-south, and south-south technical, technological and scientific partnerships   |  |   |   |   | $\boxtimes$  |  |  |  |  |
| Other   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Please describe, and, if possible, provide web-link(s) to relevant document(s):   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Japan implements international contribution to promo and financial cooperation and multiraleral cooperation such as ITTO and FAO. According to OECD, Japan propertion of the forestry sector in 2012, which is 250 billion billion USD in total. Regarding bilateral technical cooperation projects in 18 countries through Japan also implements bilateral cooperation to comb Japan assisted the technical development of wood transparation of the Joint Agreement and the Action Plan on cooperating associated trade signed in 2003. In addition, Japan hillegal logging and associated trade with China based illegal logging and associated trade for sustainable for (Reference) International forestry cooperation: http://www.rinya.maff.go | n through provided in USD ar peration, in JICA as at illegal aceability in combal as taken don the Morest manuaff.go.jp/ | internate the seconong wo Japan in sof Dece logging a in Indorating illegizations // Jemorariagemen | ional organd large rld ODA nplemer 2 and asse nesia ba pal loggimeasur dum on t signed ndex.htr | ganizatiest amo a of 1,23 nts 27 013. ociated ased on ang and es to combal in 201 ml#jica | ions<br>unt of<br>30<br>trade.<br>the<br>ombat<br>ting |  |  |  |  |

| 22. Have forests/SFM contributed to making progress in achieving any of the remaining   |
|---|
| MDGs in your country?   |
| ☐ Yes ☒ No  |
| If Yes, please describe, and, if possible, provide web-link(s) to relevant document(s): |
|   |
| MDG2: Achieving universal primary education   |
|   |
| MDG3: Promoting gender equality and empowering women                                    |
|   |
| MDG4: Reducing child mortality  |
|   |
| MDG5: Improving maternal health   |
|   |
| MDG6: Combating HIV/AIDS, malaria and other diseases                                    |
|   |