The Full Paper

- "Over 5 Billion Not Served: The TRIPS Compulsory Licensing Export Restriction"
 - Available at <u>www.ssrn.com</u> under author name "Cameron Hutchison"

 I welcome your comments now or later: chutchison@law.ualberta.ca

Breakthrough Technologies

- Patent holders choose whether to sell technology and at what cost
- Three outcomes
 - 1. Refusal to sell / license (ozone regime)
 - 2. Indiscriminate monopoly pricing (pre-TRIPS Essential Medicines amendment)
 - 3. Differential pricing in all markets based on ability to pay (optimal)

What if Option 1 or 2 Happens?

- "Nothing!" Compulsory licensing, i.e. forced sale of patent to home country competitor, to supply export market is prohibited
 - This problem led to Article 31bis for Essential Medicines
- But it is in virtually no one's interest to have this prohibition

A Win – Win Proposal

 Creates wealth in developed countries by opening up markets

 Maximizes technology transfer into developing countries consistent with a market based approach

The Proposal

 Get rid of Art 31 compulsory licensing restrictions: non-exclusivity, time limits, and export markets

• Why?

- TRIPS is suppose to encourage innovation (monopoly incentive) and technology transfer through trade and investment
- Technology transfer can be maximized without harm to the patent incentive

Preserve Incentive / Max. Transfer

- Concept of patent abuse (e.g. Art 8 TRIPS)
- "If the demand for the patent article...is not met to an adequate extent and on reasonable terms"

 Make no mistake – these thresholds will be set high by national courts

Preserve Incentive / Max. Transfer

- Legitimate business reasons requiring a high level of unmet demand
 - Competitive advantage in entered markets
 - Transitional (to adjust production)
 - Transaction costs (give negotiations a chance)
 - Profit maximization
- Illegitimate business reasons where lower level of unmet demand is justified
 - Defensive patenting
 - Undermining competition in markets not entered

For This to Work and to Happen

- Effective Segregation of Markets
 - All countries must prevent trade diversion (e.g. customs, serial numbers, distinguishing packaging and guises)
- Developed countries need to understand that it is in their economic (as well as environmental) interests
- Effect: Essential Medicines experience suggests that threat of compulsory licensing forces patentees to lower prices in developing country markets