



Convention on the Elimination of All
Forms of Discrimination against Women

Distr.: General
8 May 2000

ORIGINAL: English

Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women

Twenty-third session
12-30 June 2000
Item 6 of the provisional agenda*

Ways and means of expediting the work of the Committee

Report by the Secretariat

Contents

	<i>Paragraphs</i>	<i>Page</i>
I. Introduction.....	1-3	2
II. Proposed approaches where States Parties have not submitted at least two reports required under Article 18 of the Convention.....	4-15	2
III. Long term programme of work regarding general recommendations...	16-20	6
IV. Developments in the Human Rights Regime.....	21-22	7
V. Reports to be considered at future sessions of the Committee.....	23-24	7
VI. Efforts to encourage universal ratification, ratification of the Optional Protocol and acceptance of the amendment to article 20(1).....	25-26	8

Annexes

I. States parties whose reports are more than five years overdue.....	14
II. States parties whose reports have been submitted but have not yet been considered by the Committee.....	18
III. States parties which have deposited with the Secretary-General instruments of the acceptance of the amendment to article 20, paragraph 1, of the Convention.....	20
IV. State parties which have signed the Optional Protocol.....	21
V. States which have not ratified or acceded to CEDAW.....	22

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The present report addresses a number of issues relevant to the work of the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women. These include:
 - (a) proposed approaches in cases where States parties have not submitted at least two reports required under article 18 of the Convention; and
 - (b) the Committee's long term programme of work with regard to general recommendations;

The report also contains relevant developments in other parts of the United Nations human rights regime.

2. A list of States parties whose reports are more than five years overdue is contained in annex I. The report also contains a list of States parties whose reports have been submitted but have not yet been considered by the Committee (annex II), as well as the date of the receipt of such reports.
3. Information on efforts by the Special Adviser on Gender Issues and Advancement of Women and the Director of the Division for the Advancement of Women with regard to universal ratification, timely reporting, acceptance of the amendment to article 20.1, of the Convention, and ratification and accession to its Optional Protocol, is included in the report. A list of those States parties which have accepted the amendment is contained in annex III, while those States parties which have signed the Optional Protocol to the Convention is contained in annex IV. A list of those States which have not ratified or acceded to the Convention is contained in annex V

II. PROPOSED APPROACHES WHERE STATES PARTIES HAVE NOT SUBMITTED AT LEAST TWO REPORTS REQUIRED UNDER ARTICLE 18 OF THE CONVENTION

4. Article 18(1) of the Convention provides that States parties undertake to submit reports on implementation of the Convention within one year after its entry into force for the State concerned, and thereafter at least every four years and further whenever the Committee so requests. Since the Convention entered into force in 1982, the Committee's practice has been to observe the four-yearly periodicity foreseen in article 18, irrespective of whether the State party concerned has fallen behind in its reporting obligations, or if the consideration of a State party's report by the Committee has been delayed. In this context, the Committee has interpreted submission of reports "whenever the Committee so requests" to refer to exceptional reports, with regard to which it adopted standards and guidelines at its twenty-first session in 1999.¹
5. Currently, 39 States parties have not submitted two reports required under article 18 of the Convention, 9 States parties have not submitted three reports, 10 States parties have not submitted four reports, while 7 States parties have not submitted five reports. Of these, 36 States parties have not submitted their initial reports.
6. At its twentieth session in January 1999, the Committee reiterated its decision 16/III, in which it decided, on an exceptional basis, and as a temporary measure, in order to address the backlog of reports awaiting consideration and encourage States parties to report in a timely fashion, to invite States parties to combine a maximum of two reports. This decision governs situations where reports are combined in a single document, and the Committee has considered States parties which have submitted

two reports combined in a single document, as well as another report, on three occasions² since the adoption of this decision. Moreover, on one occasion since the adoption of decision 16/III³, the Committee has considered a State party which has presented three reports combined in a single document.

7. The International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (ICERD), the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT) and the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) contain provisions governing the periodicity of reporting in similar terms to article 18 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women. Article 9(1) of ICERD requires submission of a report within one year after entry into force of the Convention for the State party concerned and thereafter every two years and whenever the Committee so requests, while article 19(1) of CAT requires a report within one year after entry into force of the Convention for the State party concerned and thereafter States parties shall submit supplementary reports every four years on any new measures taken and such other reports as the Committee may request. Article 44(1) of the CRC requires States parties to report within two years after entry into force for the State party concerned, and thereafter every five years. Neither the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), nor the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) establish the periodicity for reports required under those treaties.

8. A significant number of States parties to these treaties have fallen behind in their reporting obligations, and several of the treaty bodies have developed strategies in this regard. At its thirty-eighth session in 1990, the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (CERD) decided that the periodicity outlined in the Convention would be satisfied if States parties would submit a comprehensive report every four years, and a brief updating report in the two-year interim. The determination of whether a report is comprehensive, so as to warrant the interim brief up-dating report, is at the discretion of the Committee, and this decision is reflected in its concluding observations on the State party's report which request the State party to cover all the points raised in the concluding observations.⁴ This Committee has also adopted the practice of allowing States parties to fulfil their past reporting obligations by combining all outstanding reports in one document.⁵ The Committee against Torture does not allow for the consolidation of reports, but does allow departure from the Convention's periodicity on an ad hoc basis. The Committee on the Rights of the Child, which has a large backlog of initial reports awaiting review, as well as growing number of unsubmitted reports, has invited one State party to submit a consolidated second and third periodic report.⁶
9. Article 17(1) of the ICESCR makes provision for States parties to submit their reports in stages, in accordance with a programme to be established by the Economic and Social Council within one year of the entry into force of the Covenant and after consultation with the States parties and the specialized agencies concerned. ECOSOC resolution 1988/4, which is reflected in the rule 58(2) of the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (CESCR), provides that States should submit

an initial report within two years of the Covenant's entry into force for the State party concerned, and thereafter every five years. The CESCR does not allow for consolidation of overdue reports, but agrees to rescheduling of the reporting dates when this is requested by States parties. Both to encourage regular reporting and expedite its work, this Committee is also making efforts to encourage the submission of focused reports concentrating on matters raised in the concluding observations on the preceding report of the State party.

10. Article 40(1) of the ICCPR provides that reports are due within one year of the entry into force of the Covenant for the State party concerned, and thereafter whenever the Committee so requests. States parties that submitted initial reports before July 1981, are required to submit reports five years after the consideration of their initial reports and every five years thereafter, while other States parties are required to submit periodic reports to the Committee every five years from the date when the initial report was due.⁷ At its sixty-third session in 1998, the Human Rights Committee decided that "the date of submission of the following periodic report should generally be up to five year after the consideration of the previous report". The criteria to be used in this determination are: (i) delays in submission of reports; (ii) delays in consideration of these reports if attributable to the State; (iii) quality of the reports and of the dialogue, and (iv) nature of the concerns and recommendations expressed in the concluding observations.⁸ The Human Rights Committee determines the date that the next report should be submitted after consideration of the report before it and reflects this in the concluding observations adopted with respect to the State party. In cases of States parties which regularly submit reports, a period of five years is usually

nominated; where States parties reports are long overdue, the Committee will usually require the submission of a report within three years after the consideration of the current report.

11. In light of the practice of these bodies, and taking into account the views of the ninth meeting of chairpersons of the human rights treaty bodies which encouraged treaty bodies to develop a flexible approach, although avoiding those that might provide an incentive to States parties to delay the submission of their reports, the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women may wish to reconsider decision 16/III and encourage States parties with outstanding reports to submit a single document consolidating all outstanding reporting obligations. In cases where the State party's outstanding reporting obligations include the initial report, the Committee may wish to decide that where the consolidated document fully and comprehensively meets the requirements of the Committee's reporting guidelines and, in addition, provides an assessment of the implementation of the Convention from the date at which the State party's initial report fell due, that the consolidated report satisfies the State party's reporting obligations to that date. In such cases, however, the Committee may wish to ensure that the dialogue between it and the State party is sustained by requiring a report in less than four years as it is entitled to do within article 18(1). Thereafter the Committee may decide that the State party should revert to its regular four-year reporting cycle indicated in the Convention.
12. In addition, in order to ensure that States parties which have submitted reports do not fall behind with their reporting obligations, the Committee may wish to consider adopting the practice of the CERD and require States parties to submit a

comprehensive report at eight-yearly intervals, and a brief up-dating report in the four-year interim. As in the practice of CERD, the elements to be addressed in the up-dating report could be identified in the concluding comments of the Committee on the earlier report of the State party. In this context, also, the Committee may wish to consider whether a full and comprehensive response to the list of issues and questions posed by the pre-session working group of the Committee to States parties presenting periodic reports could fulfil subsequent reporting obligations in cases where the subsequent report of a State party considered by the pre-session working group is due or due shortly after the meeting of the pre-session working group.

13. The Committee may also wish to consider the practice advocated by the chairpersons of human rights treaty bodies and adopted by the CERD and the CESCR of considering implementation of their respective treaties in States parties, which consistently fail to fulfil their reporting obligations, in the absence of a report.
14. Where initial reports are overdue by 5 years or more, the CERD notifies States parties that (a) it shall review implementation of the Convention in the State party concerned at a future session and invites one or more representatives of the State party to participate in its consideration; and (b) in view of the absence of an initial report the Committee shall consider as an initial report all information submitted by the State party to other organs of the United Nations or, in the absence of such material, reports and other information prepared by organs of the United Nations.⁹ Where overdue periodic reports are concerned, in addition to encouraging consolidation of outstanding reporting obligations, after informing the States parties concerned in advance, the CERD reviews implementation of the Convention in States parties

whose reports are overdue for five years or more on the basis of previous reports submitted by those States.¹⁰

15. Since 1990, the CESCR has similarly adopted the practice of considering the implementation of the Covenant in respect of States parties whose initial or periodic reports are significantly overdue. In this context a four-step procedure has been adopted: (a) the identification of those States parties whose initial or periodic reports are long overdue; (b) notification to those States parties that the Committee intends to consider at a subsequent session; (c) consideration of the status of economic, social and cultural rights in those States parties on the basis of all available information; and (d) adoption of concluding observations.¹¹ It should be noted that many States parties that are notified by the CERD or the CESCR that implementation of the relevant treaty will be considered in the absence of a report submit a report before the scheduled review or request postponement on the grounds that a report will be submitted imminently.

III. LONG TERM PROGRAMME OF WORK REGARDING GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS

16. At earlier sessions, the Committee had adopted a long-term programme of work regarding its elaboration of general recommendations. Thus, at its eleventh session (1992), members of the Committee volunteered to prepare draft general recommendations on specific articles of the Convention for the consideration of the Committee at its twelfth session,¹² while at that session additional members

volunteered to prepare general comments and recommendations on articles 2-8, 11 and 12.¹³

17. In accordance with its long-term programme of work, the Committee concluded general recommendation 19, concerning violence against women, at its eleventh session in 1992.¹⁴ General recommendation 21, concerning equality in marriage and family relations, was concluded at the Committee's thirteenth session (1994)¹⁵; general recommendation 23, on women and public life, was adopted at the sixteenth session, and included in Committee's report on its seventeenth session¹⁶; and general recommendation 24, on article 12 of the Convention – women and health, was adopted by the Committee at its twentieth session. (1999).¹⁷
18. Also at its twentieth session, the Committee decided that articles 2 and 4 would be taken up in its long-term programme of work on general recommendations.¹⁸ At earlier sessions, the Committee had agreed that separate general recommendations on articles 2 and 4 would be formulated,¹⁹ and at its twentieth session it decided that work on a general recommendation on article 4 would begin at its twenty-second session in January 2000.²⁰
19. Several entities within the United Nations system have invited the Committee, when considering its long-term programme of work for general recommendations to take certain issues into account. In its resolution 1998/19 adopted on the report of the Working Group on Contemporary Forms of Slavery, the Subcommission on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights invited the Committee to elaborate general recommendations with regard to trafficking in persons and related practices of sexual exploitation, while in its resolutions 1998/15 and 1999/15 on women and

development adopted at its fiftieth and fifty-first sessions, the Subcommission invited the Committee to explore the possibility of adopting a general recommendation on the theme of women's economic rights as it related *inter alia* to the provisions of article 14 of the Convention. The Commission on the Status of Women has invited the Committee to develop a general recommendation on women and migration,²¹ while both the Commission on Human Rights and the General Assembly have encouraged the Committee to consider developing a general recommendation on the situation of women migrant workers.²²

20. The Committee may wish to confirm its long-term programme for the preparation of general recommendations. Bearing in mind its three-stage process for the preparation of general recommendations adopted at its seventeenth session,²³ the Committee may also wish to schedule a general discussion and exchange of views on the subject of its next general recommendation with the participation of specialized agencies and other United Nations bodies, as well as non-governmental organizations at its twenty-fourth session in January 2001.

IV. DEVELOPMENTS IN THE HUMAN RIGHTS REGIME

21. At its sixty-eighth session in March 2000, the Human Rights Committee adopted general comment No 28 on equality of rights between men and women.²⁴ The general comment, which updates and replaces general comment 4 adopted at its thirteenth session in 1981, seeks to take account of the important impact of this article on the enjoyment by women of the human rights protected under the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

22. At its fifty-sixth session in March 2000, the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination adopted a general recommendation on gender-related dimensions of race discrimination.²⁵ The HRC's general comments and the CERD's general recommendation have been made available to members of the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women.

V. REPORTS TO BE CONSIDERED AT FUTURE SESSIONS OF THE COMMITTEE

23. At its twenty-second session, the Committee drew up the list of States parties whose reports would be considered at future sessions. The Committee decided that at its twenty-fourth session in January 2001, the initial reports of Singapore and Uzbekistan; the second periodic report of the Netherlands; the combined second and third periodic report of Jamaica; the third periodic report of Egypt; the combined third and fourth periodic report of Mongolia and the fourth periodic report of Sweden would be considered. The Netherlands, Singapore and Sweden indicated that they would be unable to report at the twenty-fourth session, while Finland agreed to present its third and fourth periodic reports. In finalizing the list of States parties to be considered at the twenty-fourth session, the Committee may wish to take account of the fact that the initial reports of Fiji and Maldives have been submitted.
24. The Committee decided that at its twenty-fifth session, the initial report of Kazakhstan, the second periodic reports of Guyana, the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya and Viet Nam; the third and fourth periodic reports of Finland and the fourth periodic report of Nicaragua would be considered. In finalizing the list for the twenty-fifth session, and drawing up the lists for future sessions the Committee may wish to take

account of annex II which contains a list of those States parties that have submitted reports which have not yet been considered, and provides information on those available in the languages of the United Nations.

VI. EFFORTS TO ENCOURAGE UNIVERSAL RATIFICATION, RATIFICATION OF THE OPTIONAL PROTOCOL AND ACCEPTANCE OF THE AMENDMENT TO ARTICLE 20 (1)

25. The Special Adviser to the Secretary-General on Gender Issues and Advancement of Women and the Director of the Division have continued efforts to encourage universal ratification of the Convention, ratification of the optional protocol and acceptance of the amendment to article 20(1) of the Convention relating to the Committee's meeting time.
26. During the Sixth Meeting of Commonwealth Ministers for Women's Affairs, held April 2000 in New Delhi, India, these issues were addressed by the Special Adviser during her speech to the Meeting, as well as in briefings. The Director of the Division for the Advancement of Women also addressed ratification during the 103rd Inter-parliamentary Union meeting of Women Parliamentarians held in Amman, Jordan in April 2000.
27. During the 44th session the Commission on the Status of Women and the third preparatory committee on the special session of the General Assembly, Beijing+5 in March 2000, the Special Adviser and the Director of the Division for the Advancement of Women met with several delegations to discuss ratification, and compliance with reporting obligations. Offers of technical support have also been made to countries contemplating ratification and with regard to reporting obligations.

Annex I

State parties whose reports are five years or more overdue for submission to the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women as of 4 May 2000

A. Initial reports

<i>State party</i>	<i>Date due</i>
Angola	17 October 1987
Bahamas	5 November 1994
Benin	11 April 1993
Bhutan	30 September 1982
Bosnia and Herzegovina.....	1 October 1994
Brazil.....	2 March 1985
Burundi.....	7 February 1993
Cambodia	14 November 1993
Cape Verde.....	3 September 1982
Central African Republic.....	21 July 1992
Congo	25 August 1983
Costa Rica	4 May 1987
Dominica.....	3 September 1982
Estonia	20 November 1992
Gambia.....	16 May 1994
Grenada	29 September 1991
Guinea.....	8 September 1983
Guinea-Bissau	22 September 1986
Haiti.....	3 September 1982
Lao People's Democratic Republic.....	13 September 1982
Latvia	14 May 1993
Liberia.....	16 August 1985
Malta.....	7 April 1992
Saint Kitts and Nevis	25 May 1986
Saint Lucia	7 November 1983
Samoa	25 October 1993

Seychelles	4 June 1993
Sierra Leone.....	11 December 1989
<i>State party</i>	<i>Date due</i>

Suriname.....	31 March 1994
Tajikistan.....	25 October 1994
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia.....	17 February 1995
Togo.....	26 October 1984
Trinidad and Tobago.....	11 February 1991

B. Second periodic reports

Angola	17 October 1991
Bhutan	30 September 1986
Brazil.....	2 March 1989
Cape Verde.....	3 September 1986
Congo	25 August 1987
Costa Rica	4 May 1991
Dominica.....	3 September 1986
Gabon	20 February 1988
Guinea.....	8 September 1987
Guinea-Bissau.....	22 September 1990
Haiti.....	3 September 1986
Lao People's Democratic Republic.....	13 September 1986
Liberia.....	16 August 1989
Madagascar.....	16 April 1994
Malawi.....	11 April 1992
Mali	10 October 1990
Saint Kitts and Nevis	25 May 1990
Saint Lucia	7 November 1987
Sierra Leone.....	11 December 1993
Togo.....	26 October 1988
Trinidad and Tobago.....	11 February 1995

C. Third periodic reports

<i>State party</i>	<i>Date due</i>
Bhutan	30 September 1990
Brazil.....	2 March 1993
Cape Verde.....	3 September 1990
Congo	25 August 1991
Costa Rica	4 May 1995
Cyprus	22 August 1994
Dominica.....	3 September 1990
El Salvador.....	18 September 1990
Gabon	20 February 1992
Ghana.....	1 February 1995
Guatemala	11 September 1991
Guinea.....	8 September 1991
Guinea-Bissau.....	22 September 1994
Guyana.....	3 September 1990
Haiti.....	3 September 1990
Lao People's Democratic Republic.....	13 September 1990
Liberia.....	16 August 1993
Mali	10 October 1994
Mauritius.....	8 August 1993
Saint Kitts and Nevis	25 May 1994
Saint Lucia	7 November 1991
Senegal.....	7 March 1994
Togo.....	26 October 1992
Tunisia	20 October 1994
Uganda	21 August 1994
Viet Nam.....	19 March 1991

*State party**Date due***D. Fourth periodic reports**

Belarus.....	3 September 1994
Bhutan	30 September 1994
Bulgaria	10 March 1995
Dominica.....	3 September 1994
Ecuador.....	9 December 1994
El Salvador.....	18 September 1994
Ethiopia.....	10 October 1994
Guyana.....	3 September 1994
Haiti.....	3 September 1994
Hungary	3 September 1994
Lao People's Democratic Republic	13 September 1994
Panama.....	28 November 1994
Poland.....	3 September 1994
Rwanda.....	3 September 1994
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	3 September 1994
Uruguay.....	8 November 1994
Viet Nam.....	19 March 1995
Yugoslavia.....	28 March 1995

Annex II

States parties whose reports have been submitted but have not yet been considered by the Committee

<i>State party</i>	<i>Date due</i>	<i>Date received</i>	<i>Document symbol</i>
A. Initial reports			
Fiji	27 September 1996	29 February 2000	CEDAW/C/FIJ/1
Kazakhstan	25 September 1999	26 January 2000	CEDAW/C/KAZ/1
Maldives	1 July 1994	28 January 1999	CEDAW/C/MDV/1
Singapore	4 November 1996	30 November 1999	CEDAW/C/SGP/1
Uzbekistan	18 August 1996	19 January 2000	CEDAW/C/UZB/1
B. Second periodic reports			
Armenia	13 October 1998	23 August 1999	CEDAW/C/ARM/2
Czech Republic	24 March 1997	9 March 2000	CEDAW/C/CZE/2
Equatorial Guinea	22 November 1989	6 January 1994	CEDAW/C/GNQ/2-3
Guyana	3 September 1986	20 September 1999	CEDAW/C/GUY/2
Jamaica ^a	18 November 1989	17 February 1998	CEDAW/C/JAM/2-4
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	15 June 1990	18 February 1999	CEDAW/C/LBY/2
Morocco	29 July 1998	28 February 2000	CEDAW/C/MOR/2
Netherlands	22 August 1996	10 December 1998	CEDAW/C/NET/2/ Add.1 and 2
Slovenia	5 August 1999	26 April 1999	CEDAW/C/SVN/2
Uruguay	8 November 1986	3 February 1998	CEDAW/C/URY/2-3
Viet Nam	19 March 1987	2 February 1999	CEDAW/C/VNM/2
C. Third periodic reports			
Belgium	9 August 1994	29 October 1998	CEDAW/C/BEL/3-4
Egypt ^a	18 October 1990	30 January 1996	CEDAW/C/EGY/3
Equatorial Guinea ^b	22 November 1993	6 January 1994	CEDAW/C/GNQ/2-3
Finland ^a	4 October 1995	28 January 1997	CEDAW/C/FIN/3
France	13 January 1993	5 October 1999	CEDAW/C/FRA/3
Iceland	3 July 1994	15 July 1998	CEDAW/C/ICE/3-4
Jamaica ^a	18 November 1993	17 February 1998	CEDAW/C/JAM/2-4
Kenya	8 April 1993	5 January 2000	CEDAW/C/KEN/3-4
Mongolia ^a	3 September 1990	8 December 1998	CEDAW/C/MNG/3-4
Sri Lanka	4 November 1990	7 October 1999	CEDAW/C/LKA/3-4
Uruguay	8 November 1990	3 February 1998	CEDAW/C/URY/2-3
Yugoslavia	28 March 1991	14 October 1998	CEDAW/C/YUG/3
Zambia	21 July 1994	12 August 1999	CEDAW/C/ZAM/3-4
D. Fourth periodic reports			
Argentina	14 August 1998	18 January 2000	CEDAW/C/ARG/4
Belgium	9 August 1994	29 October 1998	CEDAW/C/BEL/3-4
Denmark ^b	21 May 1996	9 January 1997	CEDAW/C/DEN/4
Egypt ^a	18 October 1994	30 March 2000	CEDAW/C/EGY/4-5

<i>State party</i>	<i>Date due</i>	<i>Date received</i>	<i>Document symbol</i>
Finland ^a	4 October 1999	25 October 1999	CEDAW/C/FIN/4
Iceland	3 July 1998	15 July 1998	CEDAW/C/ICE/3-4
Jamaica ^a	18 November 1997	17 February 1998	CEDAW/C/JAM/2-4
Japan	25 July 1998	24 July 1998	CEDAW/C/JPN/4
Mongolia ^a	3 September 1994	8 December 1998	CEDAW/C/MNG/3-4
Nicaragua	26 November 1994	16 June 1998	CEDAW/C/NIC/4
Portugal	3 September 1994	25 October 1999	CEDAW/C/PRT/4
Sri Lanka	4 November 1994	7 October 1999	CEDAW/C/LKA/3-4
Sweden ^b	3 September 1994	21 May 1996	CEDAW/C/SWE/4
Ukraine	3 September 1994	2 August 1999	CEDAW/C/UKR/4-5
Yemen	29 June 1997	8 March 2000	CEDAW/C/YEM/4
Zambia	21 July 1998	12 August 1999	CEDAW/C/ZAM/3-4
E. Fifth periodic reports			
Egypt ^a	18 October 1994	30 March 2000	CEDAW/C/EGY/4-5
Nicaragua	26 November 1998	2 September 1999	CEDAW/C/NIC/5
Norway	3 September 1998	23 March 2000	CEDAW/C/NOR/5
Russian Federation ^b	3 September 1998	3 March 1999	CEDAW/C/USR/5
Ukraine	30 September 1998	2 August 1999	CEDAW/C/UKR/4-5

^a Reports to be considered by the Committee at its twenty-fourth session, to be held in New York in January 2001.

^b Reports that have been translated, reproduced and made available in all official languages.

Annex III

States parties which have deposited with the Secretary-General instruments of the acceptance of the amendment to article 20, paragraph 1, of the Convention.

<i>States parties</i>	<i>Acceptance date</i>
Australia	4 June 1998
Brazil	5 March 1997
Canada	3 November 1997
Chile	8 May 1998
Denmark	12 March 1996
Finland	18 March 1996
France	8 August 1997
Guatemala	3 June 1999
Italy	31 May 1996
Liechtenstein	15 April 1997
Madagascar	19 July 1996
Malta	5 March 1997
Mexico	16 September 1996
Mongolia	19 December 1997
Netherlands	10 December 1997 ¹
New Zealand	26 September 1996
Norway	29 March 1996
Panama	5 November 1996
Republic of Korea	12 August 1996
Sweden	17 July 1996
Switzerland	2 December 1997
Turkey	9 December 1999
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	19 November 1997 ²

¹ For the Kingdom in Europe, the Netherlands Antilles and Aruba

² For the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Isle of Man, the British Virgin Islands, and the Turks and Caicos Islands.

Annex IV**LIST OF COUNTRIES**

which have signed the
OPTIONAL PROTOCOL
 (last update 16 May, 2000)

<u>COUNTRIES</u>	<u>DATE SIGNED</u>
1. Argentina	28 February 2000
2. Austria	10 December 1999
3. Belgium	10 December 1999
4. Bolivia	10 December 1999
5. Chile	10 December 1999
6. Colombia	10 December 1999
7. Costa Rica	10 December 1999
8. Cuba	17 March 2000
9. Czech Republic	10 December 1999
10. Denmark	10 December 1999
11. Dominican Republic	14 March 2000
12. Ecuador	10 December 1999
13. Finland	10 December 1999
14. France	10 December 1999
15. Germany	10 December 1999
16. Ghana	24 February 2000
17. Greece	10 December 1999
18. Iceland	10 December 1999
19. Indonesia	28 February 2000
20. Italy	10 December 1999
21. Liechtenstein	10 December 1999
22. Luxembourg	10 December 1999
23. Mexico	10 December 1999
24. Macedonia	03 April 2000
25. Netherlands	10 December 1999
26. Norway	10 December 1999
27. Paraguay	28 December 1999
28. Philippines	21 March 2000
29. Portugal	16 February 2000
30. Senegal	10 December 1999
31. Slovenia	10 December 1999
32. Spain	14 March 2000
33. Sweden	10 December 1999
34. Venezuela	17 March 2000

Annex V**States which have not ratified or acceded to CEDAW**

Africa	Mauritania Sao Tome and Principe Somalia Sudan Swaziland
Asia and the Pacific	Afghanistan Brunei Darussalam Democratic People's Republic of Korea Iran (Islamic Republic of) Kiribati Marshall Islands Micronesia (Federated States of) Nauru Palau Tonga
Western Asia	Bahrain Oman Qatar Saudi Arabia United Arab Emirates
Western Europe and Other	Monaco San Marino United States of America Holy See

 NOTES

¹ Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 38 (A/54/38), Part Two, Decision 21/I

² Eighteenth session: Dominican Republic, combined second and third periodic report and fourth periodic report; twenty-second session: Democratic Republic of the Congo: initial report and combined second and third periodic reports; Germany: combined second and third periodic report and fourth periodic report.

³ Seventeenth session: Antigua and Barbuda: combined initial, second and third periodic report;

⁴ See for example, the concluding observations on Morocco: “The Committee recommends that the State party’s next report, which was due on 17 January 1998, should be an updating report and that it should cover all the points raised in these concluding observations”. Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifty-third Session, Supplement No. 18 (A/53/18) para. 420

⁵ Official Records of the General Assembly, Forty-sixth session, Supplement No. 18 (A/46/18), para.28

⁶ CRC/C/91, footnote 2: “In response to a note verbale submitted by the Government of Australia on 17 August 1999 requesting guidance on the periodicity of submission of its second periodic report, the Committee, by letter sent on 22 September 1999, invited the Australian authorities to submit a consolidated second and third periodic report by 15 January 2003”.

⁷ CCPR/C/19/Rev.1 (1982)

⁸ Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifty-third Session, Supplement No. 40 (A/53/40), vol I, annex VIII, para. 7.

⁹ Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifty-first Session, Supplement No 18 (A/51/18), para. 608

¹⁰ *Ibid.*, paras. 603-607

¹¹ Manual on Human Rights Reporting, 2nd Edition, 1997 (United Nations Sales No. E.GV.97.0.16) pp.159-160

¹² Official Records of the General Assembly, Forty-seventh Session, Supplement No. 38 (A/47/38), paras. 456-458.

¹³ Official Records of the General Assembly, Forty-seventh Session, Supplement No. 38 (A/47/38), paras. 456-458.

¹⁴ Official Records of the General Assembly, Forty-seventh Session, Supplement No. 38 (A/47/38)

¹⁵ Official Records of the General Assembly, Forty-ninth Session, Supplement No. 38 (A/49/38)

¹⁶ Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifty-second Session, Supplement. No 38 (A/52/38.Rev.1) Part Two

¹⁷ Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 38 (A/54/38.Rev.1) Part One

¹⁸ *Ibid.*, para. 434

¹⁹ Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifty-second Session, Supplement No. 38 (A/52/38.Rev.1) Part Two, para. 482

²⁰ Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 38 (A/54/38.Rev.1) Part One, para. 434.

²¹ Resolution 42/3

²² GA resolution 54/138; resolution 1998/17.

²³ Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifty-second Session, Supplement No. 38 (A/52/38/Rev.1), Part Two, para. 480.

²⁴ CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.10

²⁵ CERD/C/56/Misc. 21/Rev.3